A UML Profile for Enterprise Distributed Object Computing

Joint Final Submission

Component Collaboration Architecture (CCA)

Version 1.0b

Submitted by:

CBOP Data Access Technologies DSTC EDS Fujitsu IBM Iona Technologies Open-IT Sun Microsystems Unisys *Supported by:*

Hitachi SINTEF NetAccount

Component Collaboration Architecture (CCA) Extracted From OMG Document Number: ad/2001-08-19

The Component Collaboration Architecture (CCA) forms the architectural and modeling foundation for EDOC. CCA provides the base modeling concepts that are required to support enterprise collaboration using a variety of implementation and middleware technologies. As such it may be used independently of other parts of EDOC. This document is intended to provide the CCA subset of EDOC but is not a formal standards document. ©Copyright 2001, CBOP, Data Access Technologies, DSTC, EDS, Fujitsu, IBM, Iona Technologies, Open-IT, Sun Microsystems, Unisys.

CBOP, Data Access Technologies, DSTC, EDS, Fujitsu, IBM, Iona Technologies, Open-IT, Sun Microsystems, Unisys hereby grant to the Object Management Group, Inc. a nonexclusive, royalty-free, paid up, worldwide license to copy and distribute this document and to modify this document and distribute copies of the modified version.

Each of the copyright holders listed above has agreed that no person shall be deemed to have infringed the copyright in the included material of any such copyright holder by reason of having used the specification set forth herein or having conformed any computer software to the specification.

NOTICE

The information contained in this document is subject to change without notice.

The material in this document details an Object Management Group specification in accordance with the license and notices set forth on this page. This document does not represent a commitment to implement any portion of this specification in any companies' products.

WHILE THE INFORMATION IN THIS PUBLICATION IS BELIEVED TO BE ACCURATE, THE OBJECT MANAGEMENT GROUP, CBOP, DATA ACCESS TECHNOLOGIES, DSTC, EDS, FUJITSU, IBM, IONA TECHNOLOGIES, OPEN-IT, SUN MICROSYSTEMS AND UNISYS MAKE NO WARRANTY OF ANY KIND WITH REGARDS TO THIS MATERIAL INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. The aforementioned copyright holders shall not be liable for errors contained herein or for incidental or consequential damages in connection with the furnishing, performance, or use of this material.

The copyright holders listed above acknowledge that the Object Management Group (acting itself or through its designees) is and shall at all times be the sole entity that may authorize developers, suppliers and sellers of computer software to use certification marks, trademarks or other special designations to indicate compliance with these materials. This document contains information which is protected by copyright. All Rights Reserved. No part of this work covered by copyright herein may be reproduced or used in any form or by any means—graphic, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, taping, or information storage and retrieval systems—without permission of the copyright owner.

RESTRICTED RIGHTS LEGEND. Use, duplication, or disclosure by government is subject to restrictions as set forth in subdivision (c) (1) (ii) of the Right in Technical Data and Computer Software Clause at DFARS 252.227.7013.

OMG and Object Management are registered trademarks of the Object Management Group, Inc. Object Request Broker, OMG IDL, ORB CORBA, CORBA facilities, and CORBA services are trademarks of the Object Management Group.

The UML logo is a trademark of Rational Software Corp.

ISSUE REPORTING

All OMG specifications are subject to continuous review and improvement. As part of this process we encourage readers to report any ambiguities, inconsistencies, or inaccuracies they may find by sending email to issues@omg.org. Please reference precise page and section numbers, and state the specification name, version number, and revision date as they appear on the front page, along with a brief description of the problem. You will not receive any reply, but your report will be referred to the OMG Revision Task Force responsible for the maintenance of the specification. If you wish to be consulted or informed during the resolution of the submitted issue, indicate this in your email. Please note that issues appear eventually in the issues database, which is publicly accessible.

Contents

1.	The Comp	onent Collaboration Architecture	7
	1.1 Ratio	onale	7
	1.1.1	Problems to be solved	7
	1.1.2	Levels of ProcessComponent in a Computational Specification	
	1.1.3	Approach	
	1.1.4	Concepts	
	1.1.5	Conceptual Framework	
	1.2 CCA	Metamodel	
	1.2.1	Structural Specification	
	1.2.2	Choreography	
	123	Composition	48
	124	Document Model	59
	125	Model Management	70
	13 CCA	Notation	73
	131	CCA Specification Notation	74
	132	Composite Component Notation	
	133	Community Process Notation	
	14 UMI	Profile	
	141	Tables manning concents to profile elements	
	1.4.1	Introduction	
	1.4.2	Stereotypes for Structural Specification	
	1.4.5	Stereotypes for Choreography	
	1.4.5	Stereotypes for Composition	106
	1.4.5	DocumentModel (profile) Package	
	1.4.0 1.4.7	UMI Model Management Package	
	1.4.7	Paletionshing	
	1.4.0	Canaral OCL Definition Constraints	
	1.4.9	romming CCA	
	1.5 Diag	Turnes of Diagram	
	1.5.1	The Duy/Coll Example	
	1.5.2	Callaboration diagram about community process	
	1.3.3	Conadoration diagram shows community process	
	1.5.4	Class diagram for protocol structure	
	1.3.3	Class Discreme for Common ant Structure	
	1.5.0	Class Diagram for Component Structure	
	1.5./	Class Diagram for Interface	
	1.5.8	Class Diagram for Process Components with multiple ports	
	1.5.9	Activity Diagram snowing the Choreography of a Process Component	
	1.5.10	Collaboration Diagram for Process Component Composition	
	1.5.11	Model Management	
	1.5.12	Using the CCA Notation for Component & Protocol Structure	154
2.	The CCA	Sales example	
	2.1 Buys	Sell Community Process	
	2.2 Proto	ocols	
	2.2.1	Sales Protocol	
	2.2.2	QuoteBT Protocol	
	2.2.3	OrderBT Protocol	
	2.2.4	ShippingNoticeBT Protocol	
	2.2.5	PaymentNoticeBT Protocol	

2.2.6	ShipBT Protocol	
2.2.7	DeliveryBT Protocol	
2.3 Com	oonents	
2.3.1	Buyer ProcessComponent	
2.3.2	Seller ProcessComponent	
2.3.3	Seller ProcessComponent – internal composition	
2.3.4	QuoteCalculator ProcessComponent	
2.3.5	Seller Orders ProcessComponent	
2.3.6	Warehouse ProcessComponent	
2.3.7	AccountsReceivable ProcessComponent	
2.3.8	Logistics ProcessComponent	

Figures

Figure 1: ProcessComponent Composition at multiple levels	
Figure 2: Structure and dependencies of the CCA Metamodel	
Figure 3: CCA Major elements	
Figure 4: Structural Specification Metamodel	
Figure 5: Choreography Metamodel	
Figure 6: Composition metamodel	
Figure 7: Document Metamodel	
Figure 8: Model Management Metamodel	
Figure 9: ProcessComponent specification notation	74
Figure 10: ProcessComponent specification notation (expanded ProtocolPorts)	74
Figure 11: Composite Component notation (without internal ComponentUsages)	75
Figure 12: Composite Component notation	
Figure 13: CommunityProcess notation	77
Figure 14: UML«metamodel» and CCA «profile»Packages	
Figure 15: Stereotypes in the UML Profile for CCA	
Figure 16: Stereotypes for Structural Specification	
Figure 17: Stereotypes for Choreography	
Figure 18: Stereotypes for Composition	
Figure 19: Stereotypes for DocumentModel	
Figure 20: Top Level Collaboration Diagram	141
Figure 21: Class diagram for protocol structure	
Figure 22: Choreography of a Protocol	
Figure 23: Class Diagram for Component Structure	
Figure 24: Class Diagram for Interface	
Figure 25: Using Interfaces	
Figure 26: Process Components with multiple ports	149
Figure 27: Choreography of a Process Component	
Figure 28: Process Component Composition	
Figure 29: Model Management	
Figure 30: Community Process and Protocol	
Figure 31 Composition in CCA notation	
Figure 32 BuySell CommunityProcess	157
Figure 33 Sales Protocol structure and choreography	
Figure 34 QuoteBT Protocol structure and choreography	
Figure 35 OrderBT Protocol structure and choreography	
Figure 36 ShippingNoticeBT Protocol structure and choreography	
Figure 37 PaymentNoticeBT Protocol structure and choreography	161
Figure 38 ShipBT Protoco structure and choreography 1	
Figure 39 DeliveryBT Protocol structure and choreography	
Figure 40 Buyer ProcessComponent structure and choreography	
Figure 41 Seller ProcessComponent structure and choreography	
Figure 42 Seller ProcessComponent : internal composition	
Figure 43 Seller_Orders ProcessComponent structure and choreography	
Figure 44 Warehouse ProcessComponent structure and choreography	
Figure 45 AccountsReceivable ProcessComponent structure and choreography	
Figure 46 Logistics ProcessComponent structure and choreography	

Tables

Table 1: Stereotypes for Structural Specification (UML notation: Class Diagram)	78
Table 2: TaggedValues for Structural Specification.	78
Table 3: Stereotypes for Choreography (UML notation: Statechart Diagram)	79
Table 4: TaggedValues for Choreography	79
Table 5: Stereotypes for Composition (UML notation: Collaboration Diagram at specification level)	79
Table 6: TaggedValues for Composition	79
Table 7: Stereotypes for DocumentModel (UML notation: Class Diagram)	79
Table 8: TaggedValues for DocumentModel	80
Table 9: Summary of stereotypes for a Community Process	142
Table 10: Summary of stereotypes for a Protocol	143
Table 11: Summary of tagged values for a Protocol	144
Table 12: Stereotypes for an Activity Diagram or Choreography	145
Table 13: Tagged Values for a Choreography	145
Table 14: Stereotypes for a Process Component Class Diagram	147
Table 15: tagged values for a Process Component Class Diagram	147
Table 16: Elements of an Interface	148
Table 17: Connections	152
Table 18: Stereotypes for a Process Component Collaboration	152
Table 19 Glossary of Terms	169

1. The Component Collaboration Architecture

The Component Collaboration Architecture (CCA) details how the UML concepts of classes, collaborations and activity graphs can be used to model, at varying and mixed levels of granularity, the structure and behavior of the components that comprise a system.

1.1 Rationale

1.1.1 Problems to be solved

The information system has become the backbone of the modern enterprise. Within the enterprise, business processes are instrumented with applications, workflow systems, web portals and productivity tools that are necessary for the business to function.

While the enterprise has become more dependent on the information system the rate of change in business has increased, making it imperative that the information system keeps pace with and facilitates the changing needs of the enterprise.

Enterprise information systems are, by their very nature, large and complex. Many of these systems have evolved over years in such a way that they are not well understood, do not integrate and are fragile. The result is that the business may become dependent on an information infrastructure that cannot evolve at the pace required to support business goals.

The way in which to design, build, integrate and maintain information systems that are flexible, reusable, resilient and scalable is now becoming well understood but not well supported. The CCA is one of a number of the elements required to address these needs by supporting a scalable and resilient architecture.

The following subsections detail some of the specific problems addressed by CCA.

1.1.1.1 Recursive decomposition and assembly

Information systems are, by their very nature, complex. The only viable way to manage and isolate this complexity is to decompose these systems into simpler parts that work together in well-defined ways and may evolve independently over time. These parts can than be separately managed and understood. We must also avoid re-inventing parts that have already been produced, by reusing knowledge and functionality whenever practical.

The requirements to decompose and reuse are two aspects of the same problem. A complex system may be decomposed "top down", revealing the underlying parts. However, systems will also be assembled from existing or bought-in parts – building up from parts to larger systems.

Virtually every project involves both top-down decomposition in specification and "bottom up" assembly of existing parts. Bringing together top-down specification and

bottom-up assembly is the challenge of information system engineering.

This pattern of combining decomposition in specification and assembly of parts in implementation is repeated at many levels. The composition of parts at one level is the part at the next level up. In today's web-integrated world this pattern repeats up to the global information system that is the Internet and extends down into the technology components that make up a system infrastructure – such as operating systems, communications, DBMS systems and desktop tools.

Having a rigorous and consistent way to understand and deal with this hierarchy of parts and compositions, how they work and interact at each level and how one level relates to the next, is absolutely necessary for achieve the business goals of a flexible and scalable information systems.

1.1.1.2 Traceability

The development process not only extends "up and down" as described above, but also evolves over time and at different levels of abstraction. The artifacts of the development process at the beginning of a project may be general and "fuzzy" requirements that, as the project progresses, become precisely defined either in terms of formal requirements or the parts of the resulting system. Requirements at various stages of the project result in designs, implementations and running systems (at least when everything goes well!). Since parts evolve over time at multiple levels and at differing rates it can become almost impossible to keep track of what happened and why.

Old approaches to this problem required locking-down each level of the process in a "waterfall". Such approaches would work in environments where everything is known, well understood and stable. Unfortunately such environments seldom, if ever, occur in reality. In most cases the system becomes understood as it evolves, the technology changes, and new business requirements are introduced for good and valid reasons. Change is reality.

Dealing with this dynamic environment while maintaining control requires that the parts of the system and the artifacts of the development process be traceable both in terms of cause-effect and of changes over time. Moreover, this traceability must take into account the fact that changes happen at different rates with different parts of the system, further complicating the relationships among them. The tools and techniques of the development process must maintain and support this traceability.

1.1.1.3 Automating the development process

In the early days of any complex and specialized new technology, there are "gurus" able to cope with it. However, as a technology progresses the ways to use it for common needs becomes better understood and better supported. Eventually those things that required the gurus can be done by "normal people" or at least as part of repeatable "factory" processes. As the technology progresses, the gurus are needed to solve new and harder problems – but not those already solved.

Software technology is undergoing this evolution. The initial advances in automated software production came from compilers and languages, leading to DBMS systems, spreadsheets, word processors, workflow systems and a host of other tools. The end-user today is able to accomplish some things that would have challenged the gurus of 30 years ago.

This evolution in automation has not gone far enough. It is still common to re-invent infrastructures, techniques and capabilities every time a new application is produced. This is not only expensive, it makes the resulting solutions very specialized, and hard to integrate and evolve.

Automation depends on the ability to abstract away from common features, services, patterns and technology bindings so that application developers can focus on application problems. In this way the ability to automate is coupled with the ability to define abstract viewpoints of a system – some of which may be constant across the entire system.

The challenge today is to take the advances in high-level modeling, design and specification and use them to produce factory-like automation of enterprise systems. We can use techniques that have been successful in the past, both in software and other disciplines to automate the steps of going from design to deployment of enterprise scale systems. Automating the development process at this level will embrace two central concepts; reusable parts, and model-based development. It will allow tools to apply pre-established implementation patterns to known modeling patterns. CCA defines one such modeling pattern.

1.1.1.4 Loose coupling

Systems that are constructed from parts and must survive over time, and survive reuse in multiple environments, present some special requirements. The way in which the parts interact must be precisely understood so that they can work together, yet they must also be loosely coupled so that each may evolve independently. These seemingly contradictory goals depend on being able to describe what is important about how parts interact while specifically not coupling that description to things that will change or how the parts carry out their responsibility.

Software parts interact within the context of some agreement or contract – there must be some common basis for communication. The richer the basis of communication the richer the potential for interaction and collaboration. The technology of interaction is generally taken care of by communications and middleware while the semantics of interaction are better described by UML and the CCA.

So while the contract for interaction is required, factors such as implementation, location and technology should be separately specified. This allows the contract of interaction to survive the inevitable changes in requirements, technologies and systems.

Loose coupling is necessarily achieved by the capability of the systems to provide "late binding" of interactions to implementation.

1.1.1.5 Technology Independence

A factor in loose coupling is technology independence i.e. the ability to separate the high-level design of a part or a composition of parts from the technology choices that realize it. Since technology is so transient and variations so prevalent it is common for the same "logical" part to use different technologies over time and interact with different technologies at the same time. Thus a key ingredient is the separation high-level design from the technology that implements it. This separation is also key to the goal of automated development.

1.1.1.6 Enabling a business component Marketplace

The demand to rapidly deploy and evolve large-scale applications on the internet has made brute force methods of producing applications a threat to the enterprise. Only by being able to provision solutions quickly and integrate those solutions with existing legacy applications can the enterprise hope to achieve new business initiatives in the timeframe required to compete.

Component technologies have already been a success in desktop systems and user interfaces. But this does not solve the enterprise problem. Recently the methods and technologies for enterprise scale components have started to become available. These include the "alphabet soup" of middleware such as XML, CORBA, Soap, Java, ebXml, EJB & .net., What has not emerged is the way to bring these technologies together into a coherent enterprise solution and component marketplace.

Our vision is one of a **simple** drag and drop environment for the **assembly** of **enterprise components** that is integrated with and leverages **a component marketplace**. This will make buying and using a software component as natural as buying a battery for a flashlight.

1.1.1.7 Simplicity

A solution that encompasses all the other requirements but is too complex will not be used. Thus our final requirement is one of simplicity. A CCA model must make sense without too much theory or special knowledge, and must be tractable for those who understand the domain, rather than the technology. It must support the construction of simple tools and techniques that assist the developer by providing a simple yet powerful paradigm. Simplicity needs to be defined in terms of the problem – how simply can the paradigm soolve my business problems. Simplistic infrastructure and tools that make it hard to solve real problems are not viable.

1.1.2 Levels of ProcessComponent in a Computational Specification

An EDOC-CCA computational specification can specify ProcessComponents at a number of different levels. These levels correspond to four general categories of ProcessComponent:

- E-Business Components
- Application Components
- Distributed Components
- Program Components

1.1.2.1 E-Business Components

E-Business Components are used as the integration point between enterprises, enterprises and customers or somewhat independent parts of a large enterprise (such as an acquired division). Interfaces to E-Business Components will frequently be directly accessible on the Internet as part of a web portal.

The E-Business Component has the potential to spawn new forms of business and new ways for business to work together.

E-Business Components integrate business entities that may share no common computing management or infrastructure. Interactions between E-Business components must be very loosely coupled and are always asynchronous. No assumptions of shared resources may be made between the parties, and the internals of the E-Business components will frequently be changed without informing other parties.

1.1.2.2 Application Components

Application Components represent new and legacy applications within an enterprise. Application Components are used to integrate applications (EAI) and create new applications, frequently to facilitate E-Business Components.

Application Components represent large-grain functional units. Each Application Component may be implemented in different technologies for different parts of the enterprise. Integrating Application Components facilitates enterprise-wide business processes and efficiencies.

Individual Application Components may be individually managed, but the integration falls under common management that may impose standards for interoperability and security.

Application Components use a wide variety of integration techniques including messaging, events, Internet exchanges and object or procedural RPC. Application Components are frequently wrapped legacy systems.

1.1.2.3 Distributed Components

Distributed Components are functional parts of distributed applications. These components are generally integrated within a common middleware infrastructure such as EJB, CORBA Components or DCOM. Distributed components have well defined interfaces and share common services and resources within an application.

Distributed Components provide for world-wide applications that can use a variety of technologies. Most distributed component interactions are synchronous.

1.1.2.4 Program Components

Program Components act within a single process to facilitate a program or larger grain component. Program Components may be technical in nature – such as a query component, or business focused – such as a "customer" component. These components will integrate under a common technology – such as J2EE.

Program Components provide the capability for drag-and-drop assembly of applications from fine-grain parts.

Note that some Program Components will provide access to the "outside world", such as Corba or XML thus making a set of Program Components into a larger grain component.

The destination between Program Components and all others is quite important as these are the only components that do not use some kind of distributed technology – they are only used and visible within the context of "a program".

1.1.2.5 Relationships between ProcessComponent levels

Relationships between ProcessComponent levels

Figure 1 shows how configurations of ProcessComponents at one level may *use and be composed of* ProcessComponents at lower levels. It also shows that at any level ProcessComponents may be primitive, that is – directly implemented without being a Composition. ProcessComponents may re-use and compose ProcessComponents at lower levels or the same level.



Figure 1: ProcessComponent Composition at multiple levels

There is no requirement or expectation that an EDOC computational specification must use all of these levels. For example, an E-Business Component could be directly composed of Program Components or it could use every levels

1.1.3 Approach

Our approach to these requirements is to utilize the Unified Modeling Language (UML) as a basis for an architecture of recursive decomposition and assembly of parts. CCA profiles three UML diagrams and adds one optional diagram.

1.1.3.1 Class Structure (Structure)

The class structure is used to show the structure of ProcessComponents and the information which flows between them.

1.1.3.2 Statecharts (Choreography)

Statecharts are used to specify the dynamic (or temporal) contract of protocols and components, when messages should be sent or received on various ports. The Choreography specifies the intended external behavior of a component, either by specifying transitions directly on its ports or indirectly via it'a protocols.

1.1.3.3 Collaborations (Composition)

Collaborations are used to show the composition of a ProcessComponent (or community) by using a set of other ProcessComponents, configuring them and connecting them together.

1.1.3.4 CCA Notation (Structure & Composition)

CCA Also defines a notation which integrates the ProcessComponent structure and composition.

1.1.4 Concepts

At the outset it should be made clear that we are dealing with a logical concept of component - "part", something that can be incorporated in a logical composition. It is referred to in the CCA as a ProcessComponent. In some cases ProcessComponents will correspond and have a mapping to physical components and/or deployment units in a particular technology.

Since CCA, by its very nature, may be applied at many levels, it is intended that CCA be further specialized, using the same mechanisms, for specific purposes such as Business-2-Business, e-commerce, enterprise application integration (EAI), distributed objects, real-time etc.

It is specifically intended that different kinds and granularities of ProcessComponents at different levels will be joined by the recursive nature of the CCA. Thus ProcessComponents describing a worldwide B2B business process can decompose into application level ProcessComponents integrated across the enterprise which can decompose into program level ProcessComponents within a single system. However, this capability for recursive decomposition is not always required. Any ProcessComponent's part may be implemented directly in the technology of choice without requiring decomposition into other ProcessComponents.

The CCA describes how ProcessComponents at a given level of specification collaborate and how they are decomposed at the next lower level of specification. Since the specification requirements at these various levels are not exactly the same, the CCA is further specialized with profiles for each level. For example, ProcessComponents exposed on the Internet will require features of security and distribution, while more local ProcessComponents will only require a way to communicate.

The recursive decomposition of ProcessComponents utilizes two constructs in parallel: composition (using UML Collaboration) to show what ProcessComponents must be assembled and how they are put together to achieve the goal, and choreography (the UML Statechart) to show the coordination of activities to achieve a goal. The CCA integrates these concepts of "what" and "when" at each level.

Concepts from the Object Oriented Role Analysis Method (OORAM) and Real-time Object Oriented Modeling (ROOM) have been adapted and incorporated into CCA.

1.1.4.1 What is a Component Anyway?

There are many kinds of components – software and otherwise. A component is simply something capable of composing into a composition – or part of an assembly. There are

very different kinds of compositions and very different kinds of components. For every kind of component there must be a corresponding kind of composition for it to assemble into. Therefore any kind of component should be qualified as to the type of composition. CCA does not claim to be "the" component model, it is "a" component model with a corresponding composition model.

CCA ProcessComponents are processing components, ones that collaborate with other CCA ProcessComponents within a CCA composition. CCA ProcessComponents can be used to build other CCA ProcessComponents or to implement roles in a process – such as a vendor in a buy-sell process. The CCA concepts of component and composition are interdependent.

There are other forms of software and design components, including UML components, EJBs, COM components, CORBA components, etc. CCA ProcessComponents and composition are orthogonal to these concepts. A technology component, such as an EJB may be the implementation platform for a CCA ProcessComponent.

Some forms of components and compositions allow components to be built from other components, this is a recursive component architecture. CCA is such a recursive component architecture.

1.1.4.2 ProcessComponent Libraries

While the CCA describes the mechanisms of composition it does not provide a complete ProcessComponent library. ProcessComponent libraries may be defined and extended for various domains. A ProcessComponent library is essential for CCA to become useful without having to re-invent basic concepts.

1.1.4.3 Execution & Technology profiles

The CCA does not, in itself, specify sufficient detail to provide an executable system. However, it is a specific goal of CCA that when a CCA specification is combined with a specific infrastructure, executable primitive ProcessComponents and a *technology profile*, it will be executable.

A technology profile describes how the CCA or a specialization of CCA can be realized by a given technology set. For example, a technology profile for Java may enable Java components to be composed and execute using dynamic execution and/or code generation. A technology profile for CORBA may describe how CORBA components can be composed to create new CORBA components and systems. In RM-ODP terms, the technology profile represents the engineering and technology specifications.

Some technology profiles may require additional information in the specification to execute as desired; this is generally done using tagged values in the specification and options in the mapping. The way in which technology specific choices are combined with a CCA specification is outside of the scope of the CCA, but within the scope of the technology profile. For example, a Java mapping may provide a way to specify the signatures of methods required for Java to implement a component.

The combination of the CCA with a technology profile provides for the automated development of executable systems from high-level specifications.

For details of possible (non-normative)mappings from the CCA Profile to various engineering and technology options, see Part II of this submission.

1.1.4.4 Specification Vs. Methodology

The CCA provides a way to specify a system in terms of a hierarchical structure of Communities of ProcessComponents and Entities that, when combined with specifications prepared using technology profiles, is sufficiently complete to execute. Thus the CCA specification is the end-result of the analysis and design process. The CCA does not specify the method by which this specification is achieved. Different situations may require different methods. For example; a project involving the integration of existing legacy systems will require a different method than one involving the creation of a new real-time system – but both may share certain kinds of specification.

1.1.4.5 Notation

The CCA defines some new notations to simplify the presentation of designs for the user. These new notations are optional in that standard UML notation may be used when such is preferred or CCA specific tooling is not available. The CCA notation can be used to achieve greater simplicity and economy of expression.

1.1.5 Conceptual Framework



1.1.5.1 ProcessComponent Specification

In keeping with the concept of encapsulation, the external "contract" of a CCA component is separate from how that component is realized. The contract specifies the "outside" of the component. Inside of a component is its realization – how it satisfies its contract. The outside of the component is the **component specification**. A component with only a specification is *abstract*; it is just the "outside" with no "inside".

1.1.5.2 Protocols and Choreography

Part of a component's specification is the set of **protocols** it implements. A protocol specifies what messages the component sends and receives when it collaborates with another component and the **choreography** of those messages – when they can be sent

and received. Each protocol the component supports is provided via a "**port**", the connection point between components.

Protocols, ports and choreography comprise the contract on the outside of the component. Protocols are also used for large-grain interactions, such as for B2B components.

The protocol specifies the conversation between two components (via their ports). Each component that is using that protocol must use it from the perspective of the "initiating role" or the "responding role". Each of these components will use every port in the protocol, but in complementary directions.

For example, a protocol "X" has a flow port "A" that initiates a message and a flow port "B" that responds to a message. Component "Y" which responds to protocol "X" will also receive "A" and initiate "B". But, Component "Z" which initiates protocol "X" will also initiate message "A" and respond to message "B" – thus initiating a protocol will "invert" the directions of all ports in the protocol.

1.1.5.3 Primitive and Composed Components

Components may be abstract (having only an outside) or concrete (having an inside and outside). Frequently a concrete component inherits its external contract from an abstract component – implementing that component.

There may be any number of implementations for an **ProcessComponent** and various ways to "bind" the correct implementation when a component is used.

The two basic kinds of concrete components are:

- **Primitive components** those that are built with programming languages or by wrapping legacy systems.
- Composed Components Components that are built from other components; these
 use other components to implement the new components functionality. Composed
 components are defined using a composition.

1.1.5.4 Composition

Compositions define how components are *used*. Inside of a composition components are used, configured and connected. This connected set of component usages implements the behavior of the composition in terms of these other components – which may be primitive, composed or abstract components.

Compositions are used to build composed components out of other components and to describe community processes – how a set of large grain components works together for some purpose. Components used in a community process represent the roles of that process.

Central to compositions are the **connections** between components, values for **configuration properties** and the ability to **bind** concrete components to a component usage.

1.1.5.5 Document & Information Model

The information that flows between components is described in a **Document Model**, the structure of information exchanged. The document model also forms the basis for information entities and a generic **information model**. The information model is acted on by CCA ProcessComponents (see the Entities profile, Section 3, below).

1.1.5.6 Model Management

To help organize the elements of a CCA model a "**package**" structure is used exactly as it is used in UML. Packages provide a hierarchical name space in which to define components and component artifacts. Model elements that are specific to a process, protocol or component may also be nested within these, since they also act as packages.

1.2 CCA Metamodel



Figure 3 above is a combined model of the major elements of the CCA component specification defined below.

1.2.1 Structural Specification

The structural specification represents the physical structure of the component contract, defining the component and its ports.



A **ProcessComponent** represents the contract for a component that performs actions – it "does something". A ProcessComponent may define a set of **Ports** for interaction with other ProcessComponents. The ProcessComponent defines the external contract of the component in terms of ports and a **Choreography** of port activities (sending or receiving messages or initiating sub-protocols). At a high level of abstraction a ProcessComponent can represent a business partner, other ProcessComponents represent business activities or finer-grain capabilities.

The contract of the ProcessComponent is realized via **ports**. A port defines a point of interaction between ProcessComponents. The simpler form of port is the **FlowPort**, which may produce or consume a single **data type**. More complex interactions between components use a **ProtocolPort**, which refers to a **Protocol**, a complete "conversation" between components. Protocols may also use other protocols as sub-protocols, like ProcessComponents, are defined in terms of the set of ports

UML for EDOC - CCA

they realize and the choreography of interactions across those ports. A protocol may optionally define names for the initiating and responding roles.

ProcessComponents may have **Property Definitions**. A property definition defines a configuration parameter of the component, which can be set, when the component is used.

The behavior of a ProcessComponent may be further specified by its **composition**, the composition shows how other components are used to define and implement the composite component. The specification of the ProcessComponent and protocol may include **Choreography** to sequence the actions of multiple ports and their associated actions. The actions of each port may be **Choreographed**. Composition and Choreography are defined in their own sections.

A ProcessComponent may have a **supertype** (derived from Choreography). One common use of supertype is to place abstract ProcessComponents within compositions and then produce separate realizations of those components as subtype composite or primitive components, which can then be substituted for the abstract components when the composition is used, or even at runtime.

An **Interface** represents a standard object interface. It may contain **OperationPorts**, representing call-return semantics, and FlowPorts – representing one-way operations.

A **MultiPort** is a grouping of ports whose actions are tied together. Information must be available on all sub-ports of the MultiPort for any action to occur within an attached component.

An **OperationPort** defines a port, which realizes a typical request/response operation and allows ProcessComponents to represent both document oriented (FlowPort) and method oriented (OperationPort) subsystems.

1.2.1.1 ProcessComponent

Semantics

A ProcessComponent represents an active processing unit – it does something. A ProcessComponent may realize a set of Ports for interaction with other ProcessComponents and it may be configured with properties.

Each ProcessComponent defines a set of ports for interaction with other ProcessComponents and has a set of properties that are used to configure the ProcessComponent when it is used.

The order in which actions of the Process Component's ports do something may be specified using Choreography. The choreography of a ProcessComponent specifies the external temporal contact of the ProcessComponent (when it will do what) based on the actions of its ports and the ports in protocols of its ports.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Classifier Stereotyped as << ProcessComponent>>

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::ProcessComponent

Owned by

Package

Extends

Composition (indicating that the ProcessComponent may be composed of other ProcessComponents and that its ports may be choreographed.)

Package (Indicating that a ProcessComponent may own the specification of other elements)

UsageContext (Indicating that the ProcessComponent may be the context for PortUsages representing the activities of its ports.).

Properties

Granularity

A GranularityKind which defines the scope in which the component operates. The values may be:

- **Program** the component is local to a program instance (default)
- **Owned** the component is visible outside of the scope of a particular program but dedicated to a particular task or session which controls its life cycle.
- Shared the component is generally visible to external entities via some kind of distributed infrastructure.

Specializations of CCA may define additional granularity values.

UML Representation

Tagged value

isPersistent

Indicates that the component stores session specific state across interactions. The mechanisms for management of sessions are defined outside of the scope of CCA.

UML Representation

Tagged value

primitiveKind

Components implementation includes additional implementation semantics defined elsewhere, perhaps in an action language or programming language. If the component has an implementation specification primitiveKind specifies the implementation specific type, normally the name of a programming language. If primitive kind is blank, the composition is the full specification of the components implantation – the component is not primitive.

UML Representation

Tagged value

primitiveSpec

If primitiveKind has a value, primitiveSpec identifies the location of the implementation. The syntax of primitiveKind is implementation specific.

UML Representation

Tagged value

Related elements

Ports (via "PortOwner")

"Ports" is the set of Ports on the ProcessComponent. Each port provides a connection point for interaction with other components or services and realizes a specific protocol. The protocol may be simple and use a "FlowPort" or the protocol may be complex and use a "ProtocolPort" or an "OperationPort". If allowed by its protocol, a port may send and receive information.

UML Representation

Required Aggregation Association from Port (Ports)

Supertype (zero or one), Subtypes (any number)

A ProcessComponent may inherit specification elements (ports, properties & states (from Choreography) from a supertype. That supertype must also be a ProcessComponent. A subtype component is bound by the contract of its supertypes but it may add elements, override property values and restrict referenced types.

A component may be substituted by a subtype of that component.

UML Representation

Generalization

Properties (Any number)

To make a component capable of being reused in a variety of conditions it is necessary to be able to define and set properties of that component. Properties represents the list of properties defined for this component.

UML Representation

Classifier.feature referencing an attribute.

Constraints

A process component may only inherit from another process component.

1.2.1.2 Port

Semantics

A port realizes a simple or complex conversation for a ProcessComponent or protocol. All interactions with a ProcessComponent are done via one of its ports.

When a component is instantiated, each of its ports is instantiated as well, providing a well-defined connection point for other components.

Each port is connected with collaborative components that speak the same protocol. Multi-party conversions are defined by components using multiple ports, one for each kind of party.

Business Example: Flight reservation Port

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Class (abstract)

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::Port

Owned by

ProcessComponent or Protocol via PortOwner

Extends

isTransactional

Indicates that interactions with the component are transactional & atomic (in most implementations this will require that a transaction be started on receipt of a message). Non-transactional components either maintain no state or must execute within a transactional component. The mechanisms for management of transactions are defined outside of the scope of CCA.

UML Representation

Tagged Value

isSynchronous

A port may interact synchronously or asynchronously. A port that is marked as synchronous is required to interact using synchronous messages and return values.

UML Representation

Tagged Value

name

The name of the port. The name will, by default, be the same as the name of the protocol role or document type it realises.

UML Representation

ModelElement::name

Direction

Indicates that the port will either initiate or respond to the related type. An initiating port will send the first message. Note that by using ProtocolPorts a port may be the initiator of some protocols and the responder to others. The values of DirectionKind may be:

Initiates – this port will initiate the conversation by sending the first message.

Responds – this port will respond to the initial message and (potentially) continue the conversation.

UML Representation

Tagged Value and stereotype of "Owner" relation.

PostCondition

The status of the conversation indicated by the use of this port. This status may be queried in the postCondition of a transition.

UML Representation

Tagged Value

Related elements

"Owner" ProcessComponent or Protocol (Exactly One via PortOwner)

A Port specifies the realization of protocol by a ProcessComponent. This relation specifies the ProcessComponent that realizes the protocol.

UML Representation

Required aggregate association (Ports). This association will have a stereotype of "initiates" or "responds" to indicate "direction".

Constraints

None

1.2.1.3 FlowPort

Semantics

A Flow Port is a port which defines a data flow in or out of the port on behalf of the owning component or protocol.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Class stereotyped as <<FlowPort>>

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::FlowPort

Owned by

PortOwner

Extends

Port

None

Related elements

type

The type of data element that may flow into our out of the port.

UML Representation

Required relation

TypeProperty

The type of information sent or received by this port as determined by a configurable property. The expression must return a valid type name. This is used to build generic components that may have the type of their ports configured. If *type* and *typeProperty* are both set then the property expression must return the name of a subtype of *type*.

UML Representation

Tagged value containing the name of the property attribute.

Constraints

None

1.2.1.4 ProtocolPort

Semantics

A protocol port is a port which defines the use of a protocol A protocol port is used for potentially complex two-way interactions between components, such as is common in B2B protocols. Since a protocol has two "roles" (the initiator and responder), the direction is used to determine which role the protocol port is taking on.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Class stereotyped as << ProtocolPort>>

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::ProtocolPort

Owned by

PortOwner

Extends

Port

Properties

None

Related elements

uses

The protocol to use, which becomes the specification of this port's behavior.

UML Representation

Generalization – the ProtocolPort inherits the Protocol.

Constraints

None

1.2.1.5 OperationPort

Semantics

An operation port represents the typical call/return pattern of an operation. The OperationPort is a PortOwner which is constrained to contain only flow ports, exactly one of which must have its direction set to "initiates". The other "responds" ports will be the return values of the operation.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Operation (no stereotype)

Note1: The type of the "initiates" flow port will be the signature of the operation. Each attribute of the type will be one parameter of the operation.

Note2: Owned flow ports of postCondition==Success and direction=="responds" will be a return value for the operation. All other flow ports where direction=="responds" will correspond to an exception.

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::OperationPort

Owned by

PortOwner (Protocol or ProcessComponent)

Extends

Port and PortOwner

Properties

None

Related elements

Ports (Via PortOwner)

The flow ports representing the call and returns.

UML Representation

Initiates ports - signature of the operation

Responds ports - return values of the operation.

Constraints

As a PortOwner, the OperationPort:

- May only contain FlowPorts
- Must contain exactly one flow port with direction set to "responds" (the call)

1.2.1.6 MultiPort

Semantics

A MultiPort combines a set of ports which are behaviourally related. Each port owned by the MultiPort will "buffer" information sent to that port until all the ports within the MultiPort have received data, at this time all the ports will send their data.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Class stereotyped as <</MultiPort>>

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::MultiPort

Owned by

PortOwner

Extends

Port & PortOwner

Properties

None

Related elements

Ports (Via PortOwner)

The flow ports owned by the MultiPort.

UML Representation

Required aggregation association

Constraints

Owned ports will not forward data until all sub-ports have received data.

1.2.1.7 Protocol

Semantics

A **protocol** defines a type of conversation between two parties, the initiator and responder. One protocol role is the initiator of the conversation and the other the responder. However, after the conversation has been initiated, individual messages and sub-protocols may by initiated by either party. The ports of a protocol are specified with respect to the responder.

Within the protocol are sub-ports. Each port contained by a protocol defines a subaction of that protocol until, ultimately, everything is defined in terms of FlowPorts.

A Protocol is also a choreography, indicating that activities of its ports (and, potentially their sub-ports) may be sequenced using an activity graph.

A protocol must be used by two ProtocolPorts to become active.

The protocol specifies the conversation between two ProcessComponents (via their ports). Each component that is using that protocol must use it from the perspective of the "initiating role" or the "responding role". Each of these components will use every port in the protocol, but in complementary directions.

For example, a protocol "X" has a flow port "A" that initiates a message and a flow port "B" that responds to a message. Component "Y" which responds to protocol "X" will also receive "A" and initiate "B". But, Component "Z" which initiates protocol "X" will initiate message "A" and respond to message "B" – thus initiating a protocol will "invert" the directions of all ports in the protocol.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Class stereotyped as << Protocol>>

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::Protocol

Owned by

Package

Extends

Choreography – Indicating that the contract of the protocol includes a sequencing of the port activities.

Package – Indicating that the protocol may contain the specification of other model elements (Most probably other protocols or documents).

Properties

None

Related elements

Ports (Via PortOwner)

The ports which define the sub-actions of the protocol. For example, a "callReturn" protocol may have a "call" FlowPort and a "return" FlowPort.

UML Representation

Required aggregate association

Initiator

The role which sends the first message in the protocol. Note that this is optional, in which case the initiating role name will be "Initiator".

UML Representation

Required relation

Responder

The role which receives the first message in the protocol. Note that this is optional, in which case the responding role name will be "Responder".

UML Representation

Required relation

Constraints

None

1.2.1.8 Interface

Semantics

An interface is a protocol constrained to match the capabilities of the typical object interface. It is constrained to only contain OperationPorts and FlowPorts and all of its ports must respond to the interaction (making interfaces one-way).

Each OperationPort or FlowPort in the Interface will map to a method. A ProtocolPort which initiates the Interface will call the interface. A ProtocolPort which Responds will implement the interface.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Classifier (Usually Interface, but any classifier will do)

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::Interface

Owned by

Package

Extends

Protocol

Properties

Related elements

Ports (Via Protocol & PortOwner)

The ports which define the sub-actions of the protocol. For example, a "callReturn" protocol may have a "call" flowport and a "return" port.

Initiator (Via Protocol)

The role which calls the interface. Note that this is optional, in which case the initiating role name will be "Initiator". roles.

Responder (Via Protocol)

The role which implements the interface. Note that this is optional, in which case the responding role name will be "Responder".

Constraints

- The Ports related by the "Ports" association must;
 - be of type OperationPort or FlowPort.
 - have direction == "responds".

1.2.1.9 InitiatingRole

Semantics

The role of the protocol which will send the first message.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Class stereotyped as <InitiatingRole>

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::InitiatingRole

Owned by

Protocol

Extends

name

Role name

UML Representation

ModelElement::name

Related elements

Protocol

The protocol for which the role is being defined.

UML Representation

Required relation

Constraints

None

1.2.1.10 RespondingRole

Semantics

The role in the protocol which will receive the first message.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Class stereotyped as <RespondingRole>

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::RespondingRole

Owned by

Protocol

Extends

Name

UML Representation

ModelElement::name

Related elements

Protocol

The protocol for which the role is being defined.

UML Representation

Required relation

Constraints

None

1.2.1.11 PropertyDefinition

Semantics

To allow for greater flexibility and reuse, ProcessComponents may have properties which may be set when the ProcessComponent is used. A **PropertyDefinition** defines that such a property exists, its name and type.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Attribute (No stereotype)

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::PropertyDefinition

Owned by

ProcessComponent

Extends

name

Name of the property being modelled

UML Representation

ModelElement:name

initial

An expression indicating the initial & default value.

UML Representation

Attribute::initialValue

isLocked

The property may not be changed.

UML Representation

StructuralFeature::changeability

Related elements

component

The owning component

UML Representation

Classifier.feature referencing an attribute.

type

The type of the property

UML Representation

StructuralFeature::type

Constraints

If the "constrains" relation contains any links;

The PropertyValue must contain the fully qualified name of a DataElement.

PortOwner

Semantics

An abstract meta-class used to group the meta-classes that may own ports: Process component, Protocol, OperationPort and MultiPort.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

None (Abstract)

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::PortOwner

Owned by

None

Extends

None

Related elements

ports

The owned ports

UML Representation

Required relation

Constraints
1.2.2 Choreography



A Choreography specifies how messages will flow between PortUsages. The choreography may be externally oriented, specifying the contract a component will have with other components or, it may be internally oriented, specifying the flow of messages within a composition. External chirographies are shown as an activity

graph while internal choreography is shown as part of a collaboration. An external choreography may be defined for a protocol or a ProcessComponent.

A **Choreography** uses **Connections** and **transitions** to order port messages as a state machine. Each "node" in the choreography must refer to a state or a port usage.

Choreography is an abstract capability that is inherited by ProcessComponents and protocols.

Initial, interim and terminating states are known as a "**PseudoState**" as defined in UML. CCA adds the pseudo states for success and failure end-states.

Ordering is controlled by **connections** between nodes (state and port usage being a kind of node). Transitions specify flow of control that will occur if the conditions (Precondition) are met. Transitions between port activities specify what should happen (contractually), while Connections between PortConnections specify what will happen at runtime.

1.2.2.1 Choreography

Semantics

An abstract class inherited by protocol and ProcessComponent which owns nodes and AbstractTransitions. A choreography specifies the ordering of port activities.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Choreography - State Machine stereotyped as <<<choreography>>: (context references classifier)

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::Choreography

Owned by

None

Extends

None

Properties

Related elements

Nodes

The states and port usages to be choreographed.

UML Representation

PseudoState - StateMachine.top

PortActivity :: SubmachineState

AbstractTransitions

The connections and transitions between nodes.

UML Representation

Transition: StateMachine:transition

Connection: Collaboration::AssociationRole

Supertype (zero or one), Subtypes (any number)

A ProcessComponent, protocol or CommunityProcess may inherit specification elements (ports, properties & states (from Choreography) from a supertype. That supertype must also be a ProcessComponent. A subtype component is bound by the contract of its supertypes but it may add elements, override property values and restrict referenced types.

A component may be substituted by a subtype.

Constraints: The subtype-supertype relation may only exist between elements of the same meta-type. A ProcessComponent may only inherit from another ProcessComponent. A Protocol may only inherit from another Protocol and a CommunityProcess may only inherit from another CommunityProcess.

UML Representation

Generalization of classifier related by context.

1.2.2.2 Node

Semantics

Node is an abstract element that specifies something that can be the source and/or target of a connection or transition and thus ordered within the choreographed process. The nodes that do "real work" are PortUsages.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

None (abstract)

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::Node

Owned by

Choreography

Extends

None

Properties

name

UML Representation

ModelElement:name

Related elements

Choreography

The owning protocol or ProcessComponent.

UML Representation

See Choreogrphy

Incoming

Transitions that cause this node to become active.

UML Representation

Transition: State:incoming

Connection: AssociationEndRole

outgoing

Nodes that may become active after this node completes.

UML Representation

State: outgoing

Connection: AssociationEndRole

Constraints

None

1.2.2.3 AbstractTransition

Semantics

The flow of data and/or control between two nodes.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

None - abstract

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::AbstractTransition

Owned by

Choreography

Extends

None

Properties

None

Related elements

Choreography

The owning choreography.

UML Representation

See Choreography

Source

The node which is transferring control and/or data.

UML Representation

Connection: AssociationEndRole

Transition: Transition:source

Target

The node to which data and/or control will be transferred.

UML Representation

Connection: AssociationEndRole

Transition: Transition:target

Constraints

The source and target nodes associated with the AbstractTransition must be owned by the same choreography as the AbstractTransition.

1.2.2.4 Transition

Semantics

The contractual specification that the related nodes will activate based on the ordering imposed by the set of transitions between nodes. Transitions, which declare a contract may be differentiated from Connections which realise a contract.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Transition (No Stereotype)

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::Transition

Owned by

Choreography

Extends

AbstractTransition

Properties

preCondition

A constraint on the transition such that it may only fire if the prior PortUsage terminated with the referenced condition.

UML Representation

Transition:guard

Related elements

Choreography (Via AbstractTransition)

The owning choreography.

UML Representation

See Choreography

Source

The node which is transferring control and/or data.

UML Representation

Transition: Transition:source

Target

The node to which data and/or control will be transferred.

UML Representation

Transition: Transition:target

Constraints

A transition may not connect PortConnectors.

1.2.2.5 PortUsage

Semantics

The usage of a port as part of a choreography.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

None (Abstract)

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::PortUsage

Owned by

Choreography

Extends

Node & Usage Context

Properties

None

Related elements

extent

The component, component usage or PortUsage to which the PortUsage is attached.

If the extent is a ComponentUsage the PortUsage must be a PortConnector for a port of the underlying ProcessComponent. This allows Connections between components being used within a composition.

If the extent is a PortUsage the PortUsage must represent a ProtocolPort which owns the represented usage. This allows the choreography of nested ports.

If the extent is a ProcessComponent the usage represents a port on the ProcessComponent and that ProcessComponent must be the composition owning both the port and the port usage. This allows Connections and transitions to be connected to the external ports of a component.

UML Representation

State machine: Owner of state machine

Collaboration: Association Role

Represents

The port which the PortUsage uses.

UML Representation

State machine: tagged value

Collaboration: ClassifierRole::base

Constraints

None

1.2.2.6 UsageContext

Semantics

When a port is used within a choreography it must be used within some context. UsageContext represents an abstract supertype of all elements that may be the context of a port. These are;

- ProcessComponent as the owner of port activities and port connectors.
- ComponentUsage as the owner of port connectors, representing the use of each of the component's ports.
- PortUsages representing ports nested via protocols.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

None (abstract)

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::UsageContext

Owned by

None

Extends

None

Properties

Related elements

PortsUsed

Provides context for port usage

UML Representation

State machine: owned states

Collaboration: AssociationRole

Constraints

None

1.2.2.7 PortActivity

Semantics

Port activity is state, part of the "contract" of a ProcessComponent or protocol, specifying the activation of a port such the ordering of port activities can be choreographed with transitions. A PortActivity (used with transitions) defines the contract of the component while a PortConnector (used with Connections) specifies the realisation of a component's actions in terms of other components.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

CompositeState Stereotyped as << PortActivity>>

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::PortActivity

Owned by

Protocol or ProcessComponent via Choreography

Extends

PortUsage

Properties

Related elements

None

Constraints

Port Activities may only be connected using transitions.

1.2.2.8 PseudoState

Semantics

PseudoState specifies starting, ending or intermediate states in the choreography of the contract of a protocol or ProcessComponent.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Depending on value of kind:

- Success FinalState Stereotyped as <<success>>
- Failure FinalState Stereotyped as << failure>>
- All Others PseudoState (no stereotype) with kind set to same value.

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::PseudoState

Owned by

Choreography

Extends

Node

Properties

Kind ; PseudostateKind

choice Splits an incoming transition into several disjoint outgoing transition. Each outgoing transition has a guard condition that is evaluated after prior actions on the incoming path have been completed. At least one outgoing transition must be enabled or the model is ill-formed.

fork Splits an incoming transition into several concurrent outgoing transitions. All the transitions fire together.

initial The default target of a transition to the enclosing composite state.

join Merges transitions from concurrent regions into a single outgoing transition. Join PseudoState will proceed after all its incoming Transition have triggered.

success The end-state indicating that the choreography ended in success.

failure The end-state indicating that the choreography ended in failure.

Related elements

None

Constraints

PseudoStates may only be connected using transitions.

1.2.3 Composition

Composition is an abstract capability that is used for ProcessComponents and for community processes. Compositions shows how a set of components can be used to define and perhaps to implement a process.



A **composition** contains **ComponentUsages** to show how other ProcessComponents may be used to define the composite. Note that the same ProcessComponent may be used multiple times for different purposes. Each time a ProcessComponent is used, each of its ports will also be used with a "**PortConnector**". A port connector shows the connection point for each use of that component within the composition, including the ports on the component being defined.

Attached to a ProcessComponent usage are **PropertyValues**, configuring the ProcessComponent with properties that have been defined in property definitions.

A composition also contains a set of "**Connections**". A connectionjoins compatible ports on ProcessComponents together to define a flow of data. The other side will

receive anything sent out of one side. So a Connection is a form of logical event registration (one-way registration for a flow port or Operation port, two-way registration for a ProtocolPort).

A **Contextual Binding** allows realized ProcessComponents to be substituted for abstract ProcessComponents when a composition is used.

Compositions may be ProcessComponents or CommunityProcesses. **CommunityProcess** define a top-level process in terms of the roles played by process components representing actors in the process.

1.2.3.1 Composition

Semantics

Composition is an abstract class for CommunityProcesses or ProcessComponents. Compositions describe how instances of ProcessComponents (called ComponentUsages) are configured (with PropertyValues and ContextualBindings) and connected (with Connections) to implement the composed ProcessComponent or CommunityProcess.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Collaboration (with represented classifier being the ProcessComponent or CommunityProcess being defined) – stereotyped as <<Composition>>

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::Compsition

Owned by

None

Extends

Choreography

Properties

None

Related elements

bindings

ContextualBindings defined within the context of the composition.

UML Representation

ModelElement::clientDependency

uses

ComponentUsages defined within the context of the composition.

UML Representation

Collaboration:: (Owned ClassifierRoles)

Connection (via choreography and AbstractTransition)

The flow of data and control between port connectors.

UML Representation

Collaboration:: ownedElement (Owned AssociationRoles)

PortConnector (via Choreography and nodes)

The port instances to be connected by Connections.

UML Representation

Collaboration:: (Owned ClassifierRoles)

Constraints

None

1.2.3.2 ComponentUsage

Semantics

A composition *uses* other ProcessComponents to define the process of the composition (a community process or ProcessComponent), "ComponentUsage" represents such a use of a component. The "uses" relation references the kind of component being used. Component Usage is part of the "inside" of a composed component.

The composition can be thought of as a template of ProcessComponent instances. Each component instance will have a "ComponentUsage" to say what kind of ProcessComponent it is, what its property values are and how it is connected to other ProcessComponents. A ComponentUsage will cause a ProcessComponent instance to be created at runtime (this instantiation may be real or virtual).

Each use of a ProcessComponent will carry with it a set of "portConnectors" which will be the connection points to other ProcessComponents.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

ClassifierRole Stereotyped as "ComponentUsage"

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::ComponentUsage

Owned by

Composition

Extends

UsageContext

Properties

Name

The name of the activity for which the component is being used.

UML Representation

ModelElement::name

Related elements

owner

The owning composition

UML Representation

ClassifierRole::(owning collaboration)

Uses

The type of ProcessComponent to use.

UML Representation

ClassifierRole::base

PortsUsed (Via UsageContext)

PortConnectors for each port on the used component.

UML Representation

AssociationRole

Constraints

None

1.2.3.3 PortConnector

Semantics

The PortConnector provides a "connection point" for ComponentUsages within a composition and exposes the defined ports within the composition. The connections between PortConnectors are made with Connections.

PortConnections are "implied" by other model elements and will normally be created by design tools. PortConnections should be created as follows:

- For each ComponentUsage there will be exactly one PortUsage for each port defined for the ProcessComponent being used.
- For each port on the ProcessComponent being defined there will be exactly one PortUsage to support Connections to and from "outside" ports.
- For each port within a protocol, OperationPort or MultiPort created for one of the above two reasons, a PortConnector may be created for each contained port. This allows Connections to be connected to finer grain elements, such as Connections within a protocol.

In summary, the "ProcessComponent" / "Port" pattern which defines the components external interface is essentially replicated in the "ComponentUsage" / "portConnector" part of the composition. Each time a component is used, each of its ports is used as well. Sub-ports of protocols also become PortConnectors.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

ClassifierRole stereotyped as PortConnector

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::PortConnector

Owned by

Composition

Extends

PortUsage

Properties

None

Related elements

Represents (via PortUsage)

The port of which this is a port.

Contexts (via PortUsage)

The associated owner of the port.

Incoming and Outgoing Connections (Via PortUsage and Node)

The Connections.

Constraints

PortConnectors are intended to be connected with Connections, Transitions may not be connected to a PortConnector

1.2.3.4 Connection

Semantics

A Connection connects two PortConnectors within a composition. Each port can produce and/or consume message events. The connection logically registers each port connector as a listener to the other, effectively making them collaborators.

A component only declares that given ports will produce or consume given messages, it doesn't not know "who" will be on the other side. The composition shows how a ProcessComponent will be used within a context and thus how it will be connected to other components *within that context*. A Connection connects exactly two PortConnectors.

Connections may be distinguished from transitions in that Connections specify what events will flow between ProcessComponents while transitions specify the contract of port ordering.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

AssociationRole optionally stereotyped as <<<Connection>>

Note: A Connection to a port contained by an interface will be represented by an operation, not a classifier. In this case the association role is directed to the ProtocolPort realising the interface and a message attached with a call action referencing the operation in question.

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::Connection

Owned by

Composition

Extends

AbstractTransition

Properties

None

Related elements

Source and Target PortConnectors (Via PortUsage, Node & AbstractTransition)

The PortConnectors between which the Connection is being defined.

Constraints

- The source and target nodes of a Connection must be PortConnectors.
- The source and target nodes must be port connectors owned by the same composition as the Connection.

1.2.3.5 PropertyValue

Semantics

To be useful in a variety of conditions, a ProcessComponent may have configuration properties –which are defined by a PropertyDefinition. When the component is used in a ComponentUsage those properties values may be set using a PropertyValue. These values will be used to construct or configure a component instance.

A PropertyValue should be included whenever the default property value is not correct in the given context.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Constraint stereotyped as <PropertyValue>

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::PropertyValue

Owned by

ComponentUsage

Extends

None

Properties

value

An expression for the value of the property.

UML Representation

Constraint::body

Related elements

Owner

The component usage being configured with a value.

UML Representation

ModelElement::namespace

Fills

The property being modified.

UML Representation

Constraint:constrainedElement referencing an attribute of <Owner>.

Constraints

- "fills" must relate to a property definition of the ProcessComponent that the owner uses.
- The type returned by the PropertyValue expression must be compatible with the type defined by the PropertyDefinition.

1.2.3.6 ContextualBinding

Semantics

A composition is able to use abstract ProcessComponents in compositions – we call these abstract compositions. The use of an abstract composition implies that at some point a concrete component will be bound to that composition. That binding may be done at runtime or when the composition is used as a component in another composition.

For example, a composed "Pricing" component may use an abstract component "PriceFormula". In our "InternationalSales" composition we may want to say that "PriceFormula" uses "InternationalPricing".

Contextual Binding allows the substitution of a more concrete ProcessComponent for a compatible abstract ProcessComponent when an abstract composed ProcessComponent is used. So within the composition that uses the abstract component (International Sales) we say the use of a particular Component (use of PriceFormula) will be bound to a concrete component (InternationalPricing). These semantics correspond with the three relations out of ContextualBinding.

Note that other forms of binding may be used, including runtime binding. But these are out of scope for CCA. Some specializations of CCA may subtype ContextualBinding and apply selection formula to the binding, as is common in workflow systems.

An abstract composition may also be thought of as a pattern, with contextual binding being the parameter substitution.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Binding stereotyped as <ContextualBinding>

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::ContextualBinding

Owned by

Composition

Extends

Properties

None

Related elements

owner

The composition which is using the abstract composed component and wants to bind a more specific ProcessComponent for an abstract one. The owner of the ContextualBinding.

UML Representation

ModelElement::namespace

fills

The ComponentUsage which should have the ProcessComponent it uses replaced. This component usage does not have to be within the same composition as the contextual binding, it may be anywhere the component usage occurs visible from the scope of the composition owning the binding.

UML Representation

Binding::client

bindsTo

The concrete component which will be bound to the component usage.

UML Representation

Binding::supplier

Constraints

The ProcessComponent related to by "bindsTo" must be a subtype of the component used by the component usage related to by "fills".

1.2.3.7 CommunityProcess

Semantics

Community processes may be thought of as the "top level composition" in a CCA specification, it is a specification of a composition of ProcessComponents that work together for some purpose other than specifying another ProcessComponent.

One kind of CommunityProcess would be a business process, in which case the nested components represent business partner roles in that process. For example, a community process could define the usage of a buyer, a seller, a freight forwarder and two banks for a sale and delivery process.

Note that designs can be done "top down" or as an assembly of existing ProcessComponents (bottom up). When design is being done top down, it is usually the CommunityProcess which comes first and then ProcessComponents specified to fill the roles of that process.

CommunityProcesses are also useful for standards bodies to specify the roles and interactions of a B2B process.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Subsystem stereotyped as <<CommunityProcess>> with a Composition

Fully Scoped name

ECA::CCA::CommunityProcess

Owned by

Package

Extends

Composition and Package

Properties

None

Related elements

None

Constraints

None

1.2.4 Document Model

The document model defines the information that can be transferred between and manipulated by ProcessComponents. It also forms the base for information in entities.



A **data element** represents a type of data which may either be primitive **DataTypes** or composite. **CompositeData** has named attributes which reference other types. Any type may have a **DataInvariant** expression.

Attributes may be **isByValue**, which are strongly contained or may simply reference other data elements provided by some external service. Attributes may also be marked as **required** and/or **many** to indicate cardinality. **DataTypes** define local data – these types are defined outside of CCA. **ExternalDocument** defines a document defined in an external type system. An **enumeration** defines a type with a fixed set of values

1.2.4.1 DataElement

Semantics

DataElement is the abstract supertype of all data types. It defines some kind of information.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Classifier (no stereotype)

Fully Scoped name

ECA:: Document Model:: Data Element

Owned by

Package

Extends

PackageContent

Properties

None

Related elements

constraints

Constraints applied to the values of this data type.

Constraints

None

1.2.4.2 DataType

Semantics

A primitive data type, such as an integer, string, picture, movie...

Primitive data types may have their structure and semantics defined outside of CCA. The following data types are defined for all specializations of CCA: String, Integer, Float, Decimal, Boolean.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

DataType (no stereotype)

Fully Scoped name

ECA::DocumentModel::DataType

Owned by

Package

Extends

DataElement

Properties

None

Related elements

None

Constraints

None

1.2.4.3 Enumeration

Semantics

An enumeration defines a type that may have a fixed set of values.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Corresponds to User defined enumeration stereotypes of UML DataType.

Fully Scoped name

ECA::Documentmodel::Enumeration

Owned by

Package

Extends

DataElement

Properties

None

Related elements

Values

The set of values the enumeration may have.

UML Representation

ModelElement::namespace

Initial

The initial, or default, value of the enumeration.

UML Representation

Tagged value

Constraints

None

1.2.4.4 EnumerationValue

Semantics

A possible value of an enumeration.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

The values of User defined enumeration stereotypes of UML DataType.

Fully Scoped name

ECA::DOCUMENTMODEL::EnumerationValue

Owned by

Enumeration

Extends

Properties

name

Related elements

Enumeration

The owning enumeration.

UML Representation

ModelElement:namespace

Constraints

None

1.2.4.5 CompositeData

Semantics

A datatype composed of other types in the form of attributes.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Class Stereotyped as <<CompositeData>>

Fully Scoped name

ECA::DocumentModel::CompositreData

Owned by

Package

Extend

DataElements

Properties

None

Related elements

Feature

The attributes which form the composite.

UML Representation

Classifier.feature

Supertype

A type from which this type is specialized. The composite will include all attributes of all supertypes as attributes of itself.

Subtypes

The types derived from this type.Constraints

UML Representation

Generalization

1.2.4.6 Attribute

Semantics

Defines one "slot" of a composite type that may be filled by a data element of "type".

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Attribute (No stereotype)

Fully Scoped name

ECA::DOCUMENTMODEL::Attribute

Owned by

CompositeData

Extends

None

Properties

isByValue

Indicates that the composite data is stored within the composite as opposed to referenced by the composite.

UML Representation

Stand-alone Tagged Value to apply to UML Attribute (a Stereotype of Attrbute is not created to hold this TaggedValue :

required

Indicates that the attribute slot must have a value for the composite to be valid.

UML Representation

StructuralFeature::multiplicity

many

Indicates that there may be multiple occurrences of values. These values are always ordered.

UML Representation

StructuralFeature::multiplicity

initialValue

An expression returning the initial value of the attribute.

UML Representation

Attribute::initialValue

Related elements

type

The type of information which the attribute may hold. Type instances may also be filled by a subtype.

UML Representation

StructuralFeature::type

owner

The composite of which this is an attribute.

UML Representation

ModelElement::namespace

Constraints

None

1.2.4.7 DataInvariant

Semantics

A constraint on the legal values of a data element.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Constraint

Fully Scoped name

ECA::DOCUMENTMODEL::DataInvarient

Owned by

DataElement

Extends

Properties

Expression

The expression which must return true for the data element to be valid.

UML Representation

Constraint::body

isOnCommit (Default: False)

True indicates that the constraint only applies to a fully formed data element, not to one under construction.

UML Representation

Tagged Value

Related elements

ConstrainedElement

The data element that will be constrained.

UML Representation

Constraint::constrainedElement

1.2.4.8 ExternalDocument

Semantics

A large, self contained document defined in an external type systems such as XML, Cobol or Java that may or may not map to the ECA document model.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

DataType Stereotyped as <<ExternalDocument>>

Fully Scoped name

ECA::DOCUMENTMODEL::ExternalDocument

Owned by

Package

Extends

DataElement

Properties

All properties are tagged values

MimeType

The type of the document specified as a string compatible with the "mime" declarations.

SpecURL

A reference to an external document definition compatible with the mimiType, such as a DTD or Schema. If the MimeType does not define a specification form (E.G. GIF) then this attribute will be blank.

ExternalName

The name of the document within the SpecURL. For example, an element name within a DTD. If the MimeType does not define a specification form (E.G. GIF) or the specification form only specifies one document then this attribute will be blank.

Related elements

None

Constraints

1.2.5 Model Management

Model management defines how CCA models are structured and organized. It directly maps to its UML counterparts and is only included as an ownership anchor for the other elements.



A **package** defines a logical hierarchy of reusable model elements. Elements that may be defined in a package are **PackageContent** and may be ProcessComponents, Protocols, DataElements, CommunityProcesses and other packages. A **ImportedElement** defines a "shortcut" visibility of a package content in a package that is not its owner. Shortcuts are useful to organize reusable elements from different perspectives.

Note that ProcessComponents are also packages, allowing elements which are specific to that component to be defined within the scope of that component.

1.2.5.1 Package

Semantics

Defines a structural container for "top level" model elements that may be referenced by name for other model elements.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

Package

Fully Scoped name

ECA::ModelManagement::Package

Owned by

Package or model (global scope)

Extends

PackageContent

Properties

None

Related elements

OwnedElements

The model elements within the package and visible from outside of the package.

UML Representation

Namespace::OwnedElement

Constraints

1.2.5.2 PackageContent

Semantics

An abstract capability that represents an element that may be placed in a package and thus referenced by name from any other element.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

ModelElement

Fully Scoped name

ECA::ModelManagement::

Owned by

Package

Extends

None

Properties

name

UML Representation

ModelElement::name

Related elements

namespace

UML Representation

ModelElement::namespace

Constraints
1.2.5.3 ElementImport

Semantics

Defines an "Alias" for one element within another package.

UML base element(s) in the Profile and Stereotype

ElementImport (No Stereotype)

Fully Scoped name

ECA::ModelManagement::ElementImport

Owned by

Package

Extends

PackageContent

Properties

None

Related elements

ModelElement

The element to be imported.

Constraints

None

1.3 CCA Notation

CCA uses UML notation with a few extensions and conventions to make diagrams more readable and compact for CCA aware tools. The UML mapping shown how CCA is expressed in the UML Metamodel which has standard notation. Unless stated otherwise, all other UML elements use the base UML 1.4 notation. The following are additions this base UML 1.4 notation.

1.3.1 CCA Specification Notation

A ProcessComponent is based on the notation for a subsystem with extensions for ports and properties. Consider the following diagram template for ProcessComponent notation.





- A **ProcessComponent** represents its external contract as a subsystems with the following addition:
- The ProcessComponent **type** may be represented as an icon in the component name compartment. "t" above.
- **Ports** are represented as going through the boundary of the box. The port is itself a smaller rectangle with the name of the port inside the rectangle.. In the above, "Receives", "Sends", "Responder" and "Initiator" are all ports. The type of the port is not represented in the diagram.
- Flow ports are represented as an arrow going through a box. Flow ports that send have the arrow pointing out of the box while flow ports that receive (Receives) have an arrow pointing into the box. A sender has the background and text color inverted.
- **Protocol ports** and **Operation ports** are boxes extending out of the component. Protocol ports representing an initiator have the colors of their background and text

reversed. In the above, "Initiator" is a protocol port of an initiator and "Responder" is a protocol port that is not an initiator. ProtocolPorts may show nested, the Ports of the used Protocol.

- Multiports are shown as a shaded box grouping the set of ports it contains.
- **Property Definitions** are in a separate compartment listing the property name, type and default value (if any). The name, type and value are separated by lines. Each property is on a separate line.

1.3.2 Composite Component Notation

A composite is shown as a ProcessComponent with the composition in the center. The composition is a new notation but may also be rendered with a UML collaboration.

Component	$\langle t \rangle$		
Receives		Sends	
Responder		Initiator	
Property	Туре	Value	
Figure	11 · Composite Con	ponent notation (without)	internal ComponentUsages



- The **ports** on the composite component being defined are shown in the same way as they are on a ProcessComponent, but in this case represent the **port connector**.
- A component usage is shown as a smaller version of a ProcessComponent inside the composite component. Note Usage (1..2) are component usages.
- **Port connectos** are shown in the same fashion as ports, on component usages. The ports on Usage 1..2 are all port usages.
- **Connectors** are shown as lines between port usages or port proxies. All the lines in the above are connectors.
- **Property values** may be shown on component usages (in the same way as the property definition), or may be suppressed.

1.3.3 Community Process Notation

A community process is shown in the same way as a composite component with the exception that a community process has no external ports.



In the above example "BuySellProcess" is a community process with component usage for "Buyer" and "Seller" which are connected via their "buy" and "sell" ports, respectively.

1.4 UML Profile

The CCA profile specifies how CCA concepts relate to and are represented in standard UML using stereotypes, tagged values and constraints. This allows off-the-shelf UML tools to represent CCA and interchange CCA models.

The CCA profile is organized as a single package which corresponds to the ECA::CCA package in the logical model and the CCA <</pre>profile>> package. In addition there is a package for the document model which is used by CCA.

1.4.1 Tables mapping concepts to profile elements

The following tables provide a summary of the CCA elements as stereotypes and tagged
values. These stereotypes and tagged values may be used in standard UML models, and
represented in standard UML diagrams (See 1.5"Diagramming CCA" for an example).

Metamodel element name	Stereotype name	UML base Class	Parent	Tags	Constraints
ProcessComponent	ProcessComponent	Classifier	N/A	granularity isPersistent primitiveKind primitiveSpec	
Port	Port	Class	N/A	isSynchronous isTransactional direction postCondition	
FlowPort	FlowPort	Class	Port	typeProperty	

Metamodel	Stereotype name	UML	Parent	Tags	Constraints
element name		base Class			
ProtocolPort	ProtocolPort	Class	Port	uses	
MultiPort	MultiPort	Class	Port		
OperationPort	N/A	Operation	Port		
Protocol	Protocol	Class	N/A		
Interface	N/A	Classifier	N/A		
InitiatingRole	InitiatingRole	Class	N/A		
RespondingRole	InitiatingRole	Class	N/A		
PropertyDefinition	PropertyDefinition	Attribute	N/A		
«enumeration»	DirectionKind	Enumeration			
DirectionKind					
«enumeration»	GranularityKind	Enumeration	N/A		
GranularityKind					
Direction (value)	initiates	Association	N/A		
Direction (value)	responds	Association	N/A		

Table 1: Stereotypes for Structural Specification (UML notation: Class Diagram)

Mataha	nichel	Fiagula	arity	Storees	type nponent	Temen	eration»	Multip	licity	Descri	ption	
attribu	te name					Utallul	antyKillu					
	primitivek	Kind	primitiveKind				String		01			
	primitiveS	spec	primitiveSpec				String		01			
	isPersister	nt	isPersistent				Boolean		1		default=false	
	isSynchro	nous	isSynchronous		Port		Boolean		1		default=false	
	-		-		and							
					specialization	IS:						
					ProtocolP	ort or						
					FlowPort	or						
					MultiDart	01						
					MultiPolt							
					Operation	Port						
	isTransact	ional	isTransactional				Boolean		1		default=false	
	direction		direction				«enumera	tion»	1			
							Direction	Kind				
	postCondi	tion	postCondition				«enumera	tion»	01			
							Status					
	typePrope	rty	typeProperty		FlowPort		Attribute		01		Reference a	
											PropertyDefinit	ion of
											the owner	
											ProcessCompor	nent.

Table 2: TaggedValues for Structural Specification

Matangale hy	Stereotypphame	StMd/BasenClass	Rafent	Tags	Constraints
element name					
PortActivity	PortActivity	CompositeState	N/A	represents	
Transition	N/A (UML element)	Transition	N/A		
Pseudostate	N/A (UML element) or	Pseudostate	N/A		
	Success or Failure				
Pseudostate	Success	FinalState	N/A		
Pseudostate	Failure	FinalState	N/A		
«enumeration»	Status	Enumeration			
Status					

Metamodel attribute name	Tag	Stereotype	Туре	Multi plicity	Description
represents	represents	PortActivity	Class, constrained to «ProtocolPort» or «FlowPort» or «MultiPort» or «OperationPort»	1	

Table 3: Stereotypes for Choreography (UML notation: Statechart Diagram)

Table 4: TaggedValues for Choreography

Metamodel element	Stereotype name	UML Base Class	Parent	Tags	Constr
name					aints
Composition	Composition	Collaboration	N/A		
ComponentUsage	ComponentUsage	ClassifierRole	N/A		
PortConnector	PortConnector	ClassifierRole	N/A		
Connection	Connection	AssociationRole	N/A		
PropertyValue	PropertyValue	Constraint	N/A		
ContextualBinding	ContextualBinding	Binding	N/A		
CommunityProcess	CommunityProcess	Subsystem	N/A		

Table 5: Stereotypes for Composition (UML notation: Collaboration Diagram at specification level)

Metamodel attribute name	Tag	Stereotype	Туре	Multi plicity	Description
represents	represents	PortConnector	Class, constrained to «ProtocolPort» or «FlowPort» or «MultiPort»	1	

Table 6: TaggedValues for Composition

Metamodel	Stereotype name	UML Base Class	Parent	Tags	Constraints
element name					
CompositeData	CompositeData	Class	N/A		
ExternalDocument	ExternalDocument	DataType	N/A		
DataInvariant	DataInvariant	Constraint	N/A		
DataType	N/A (UML)	DataType	N/A		
Enumeration	N/A (UML)	Enumeration	N/A		
Attribute	N/A (UML)	Attribute	N/A		

Table 7: Stereotypes for DocumentModel (UML notation: Class Diagram)

Metamodel attribute	Tag	Stereotype	Туре	Multi plicit	Description
name				у	
isOnCommit	isOnCommit	DataInvariant	Boolean	1	
isByValue	isByValue	N/A		1	Apply to Attribute of

Metamodel attribute name	Tag	Stereotype	Туре	Multi plicit y	Description
					«CompositeData»
mimeType	mimeType	ExternalDocument	String	01	
specURL	specURL		String	01	
externalName	externalName		String	01	

Table 8: TaggedValues for DocumentModel

1.4.2 UML Profile - Introduction

The UML Profile for CCA accesses a number of UML Packages. The CCA <<<pre>rofile>> extends these packages with CCA stereotypes & semantics.



Each CCA stereotype extends a specific UML model element as shown below.







Applicable Subset

Classifier, Class, Attribute

1.4.3.1 «ProcessComponent»

Inheritance

Foundation::Core::Classifier «ProcessComponent»

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

Relationship	Role(s)
Ports	owner
Generalization	<pre>supertype subtypes {only with «ProcessComponent»}</pre>
Properties	component
Uses	owner
ComponentUsages	owner
Bindings	owner
Bindings	bindsTo
Connections	_connections
Nodes	_nodes
PortUsages	extent
Is_A_Choreography	is_specialization
Is_A_Composition	is_specialization
PackageElements owner	ownerElements
ImportElement modelElement	elementImport

Relationships¹

Correspondence of metamodel attributes with UML attributes

Metamode l attribute name	UML attribute name	UML attribute owner	Description
name	name	ModelElement	

Tagged Values

Tagged Value name	Туре	Multiplicity	Description
granularity	String	01	
primitiveKind	String	01	
primitiveSpec	String	01	
isPersistent	Boolean	1	default=false

¹ The "Relationships" header references the relationships in which the Model Element participates, and the name of the role in the relationship. The section "Relationships", see 1.4.8 below, includes the specifications for these relationships, and their mapping between metamodel and UML representation.

Constraints expressed generically

The set of all the «Port» of a «ProcessComponent» is the set of «Port» or its specializations, that are aggregated in the «ProcessComponent».

The supertype of a «ProcessComponent» must be a «ProcessComponent».

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

```
context ProcessComponent
inv:
    supertype->isEmpty() or
    supertype.isStereoKinded("ProcessComponent")
def:
    -- the Ports in the ProcessComponent :
    -- composed in the ProcessComponent
let ports : Set( Class) =
  (association->select( anAssociationEnd : AssociationEnd |
    anAssociationEnd.aggregationKind = ak_composite)
    ->association->connection - association)
    ->participant
    ->select( aClassifier : Classifier|
    anElement.isStereoKinded( «Port»))
```

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.3.2 «Port»

Inheritance

Foundation::Core::Class «Port»

Instantiation in a model

Abstract

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

The «Port» stereotype has been introduced for clarity and brevity, defining in a common ancestor, the taggedValues corresponding to attributes of Port in the metamodel, and reused along the stereotypes specialization of «Port» : «FlowPort», «ProtocolPort», «MultiPort» and «OperationPort».

Relationships

Relationship	Role(s)
Ports	ports
Represents	represents

Correspondence of metamodel attributes with UML attributes

Metamodel attribute	UML attribute	UML attribute	Descriptio
name	name	owner	n
name	name	ModelElement	

Tagged Values

Tagged Value name	Туре	Multiplicity	Description
isSynchronous	Boolean	1	default=false
isTransactional	Boolean	1	default=false
direction	DirectionKind	1	
postCondition	«enumeration» Status	01	

Constraints expressed generically

A «Port» must be aggregated into a «Protocol» or a «ProcessComponent», or a «MultiPort».

Note that the metamodel Interface corresponds in the UML Profile to a UML Classifier which may or may not by a UML Interface, and that the metamodel OperationPort corresponds to a UML Operation. However, UML Interface is the recommended model element to use. Although in the metamodel both Interface and OperationPort may contain other Port, in the UML Profile these, and their relationships are directly supported by UML. Neither Interface or OperationPort appear in the constraint below, as candidate owners for «Port». This allows arbitrary UML classifiers (of any kind) to be used with CCA. Only the operations of these classifiers will correspond to CCA elements.

The relationship between the Port and the PortOwner shall have the stereotype <<<initiates>> or the stereotype <<responds>> which shall have the same value as "direction".

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

```
context Port
inv:
   aggregatedOwner->notEmpty()
inv:
   ownerAggregation.isStereoKinded("initiates") implies
      direction = "Initiates"
```

```
inv:
  ownerAggregation.isStereoKinded("responds") implies
     direction = "Responds"
def:
  -- the owner of the Port
let aggregatedOwner : Class = ownerAggregation.participant
def:
  let ownerAggregation : Class =
  (association->association->connection - association)->
     select( anAssociationEnd : AssociationEnd |
     anAssociationEnd.aggregationKind = ak composite)
  ->select( anAssocRole : AssociationRole|
  anAssocRole->participant.isStereoKinded( «Protocol») or
     anAssocRole->participant.isStereoKinded(
  «ProcessComponent») or
    anAssocRole->participant..isStereoKinded(
  «MultiPort»))
  ->any( true)
```

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.3.3 «FlowPort»

Inheritance

Foundation::Core::Class ECA::CCA::ComponentSpecification::«Port» «FlowPort»

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

Relationship	Role(s)
FlowType	_type
TypeProperty	constrains

Tagged Values

Tagged Value name	Туре	Multiplicit y	Description
typeProperty	Attribute	01	Refer to a «PropertyDefinition» of the owner «ProcessComponent». When the «ProcessComponent» is used as a «ComponentUsage», the value held by the «PropertyValue» in the «ComponentUsage» will be interpreted as the actual type of the «FlowPort», for its specific «PortUsage» in the «ComponentUsage».

Constraints expressed generically

The «FlowPort» must reference as its type a DataType, Enumeration, «CompositeData» or «ExternalDocument» or their specializations.

The typeProperty of «FlowPort», if is specified, it must reference an Attribute stereotyped as «PropertyDefinition», owned by the same «ProcessComponent» that owns the «FlowPort». If the initialValue of the «ProperyDefinition» is set, then the value must be the name of a DataElement, Enumeration, «CompositeData» or «ExternalDocument».

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.3.4 «ProtocolPort»

Inheritance

Foundation::Core::Class ECA::CCA::ComponentSpecification::«Port» «ProtocolPort»

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

Relationships

Relationship	Role(s)
ProtocolType	_uses

Tagged Values

N/A

Constraints expressed generically

A «ProtocolPort» must reference a «Protocol», or its specializations, through a Generalization Relationship, with the «Protocol» as the parent.

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

```
context ProtocolPort
inv:
  generalization->notEmpty() and
  generalization.parent->select( aGeneralizable :
  GeneralizableElement |
      aGeneralizable.isStereoKinded("Protocol"))
  ->notEmpty()
```

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.3.5 «MultiPort»

Inheritance

Foundation::Core::Class ECA::CCA::ComponentSpecification::«Port» «MultiPort»

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

Relationships

Relationship	Role(s)
Ports	owner

Tagged Values

N/A

Constraints expressed generically

All the «Port» aggregated by the «MultiPort», must be «FlowPort» or its specializations.

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

```
context MultiPort
inv:
    ports->forAll( aClass : Class |
    aClass.isStereoKinded("FlowPort"))

def:
    let ports : Set( Class) =
    (association->select( anAssociationEnd : AssociationEnd |
        anAssociationEnd.aggregationKind = ak_composite)
    ->association->connection - association)
    ->participant
    ->select( aClassifier : Classifier|
        anElement.isStereoKinded( «Port»))
```

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.3.6 UML Operation represents OperationPort

Semantics

The concept of OperationPort in the metamodel, is represented by a standard UML operation.

The OperationPort is constrained to contain only FlowPorts.

The signature, of the UML Operation representing an OperationPort, is derived from the type of the one and only FlowPort of the OperationPort, with direction="initiates". For each Attribute of the FlowPort, the UML Operation will have an input Parameter with type equal to the type of the Attribute in the FlowPort.

For each ownedFlowPort with direction="responds" and postCondition="Success", then the UML Operation will have return Parameters with same type as the type of the FlowPort.

All other FlowPort in the OperationPort with direction="responds", correspond to raisedException Signal of the UML Operation. The structure of the Signal is derived from the FlowPort type : the Signal will have Attribute with same name and type of the Attribute of the type of the FlowPort.

Relationships

N/A

Tagged Values

N/A

Constraints expressed generically

.N/A

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

N/A

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.3.7 «Protocol»

Inheritance

Foundation::Core::Class «Protocol»

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

Relationships

Relationship	Role(s)
Ports	owner
ProtocolType	_uses
Generalization	supertype subtypes (only with
	«Protocol»)
Node	nodes
Connection	connections
PackageElements	owner ownedElements
Is_a_Choreography	is_specialization
ImportElement	modelElement elementImport
Initiator	_initiator
Responder	_responder

Correspondence of metamodel attributes with UML attributes

Metamodel attribute	UML attribute	UML attribute	Descriptio
name	name	owner	n
name	name	ModelElement	

Tagged Values

N/A

Constraints expressed generically

The supertype of a «Protocol» must be a «Protocol».

The set of all the «Port»s of a «Protocol» is the set of «Port»s or its specializations, that are aggregated in the «Protocol».

A «Protocol» may have an Aggregation with at most one «InitiatingRole».

A «Protocol» may have an Aggregation with at most one «RespondingRole».

```
Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel
```

```
context Protocol
inv: initiatingRole->size() < 2</pre>
inv: repondingRole->size() < 2</pre>
inv:
  supertype->isEmpty() or
  supertype.isStereoKinded("Protocol")
def:
  -- the Ports in the Protocol : Association composed in
  the Protocol
 let ports : Set( Class) =
  (association->select( anAssociationEnd : AssociationEnd |
    anAssociationEnd.aggregationKind = ak composite)
  ->association->connection - association)
  ->participant
  ->select( aClassifier : Classifier|
  anElement.isStereoKinded( «Port»))
def:
  let initiatingRole : Class = (association->select(
  anAssociationEnd : AssociationEnd |
    anAssociationEnd.aggregationKind = ak composite)
  ->association->connection - association)
  ->participant
  ->select( aClassifier : Classifier|
  anElement.isStereoKinded( «InitiatingRole»))
def:
  let repondingRole: Class = (association->select(
  anAssociationEnd : AssociationEnd |
    anAssociationEnd.aggregationKind = ak composite)
  ->association->connection - association)
  ->participant
  ->select( aClassifier : Classifier|
  anElement.isStereoKinded( «RespondingRole»))
```

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.3.8 «InitiatingRole»

Inheritance

Foundation::Core::Class

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

Relationships

Relationship	Role(s)
Initiator	-

Correspondence of metamodel attributes with UML attributes

Metamodel attribute	UML attribute	UML attribute	Descriptio
name	name	owner	n
name	name	ModelElement	

Tagged Values

N/A

Constraints expressed generically

N/A

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

context InitiatingRole

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.3.9 «RespondingRole»

Inheritance

Foundation::Core::Class «RespondingRole»

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

Relationships

Relationship	Role(s)
Responder	_responder

Correspondence of metamodel attributes with UML attributes

Metamodel attribute	UML attribute	UML attribute	Descriptio
name	name	owner	n
name	name	ModelElement	

Tagged Values

N/A

Constraints expressed generically

N/A

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

context RespondingRole

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.3.10 UML Classifier represents Interface

Inheritance

N/A

Instantiation in a model

Concrete subtypes of classifier.

Semantics

The metamodel element Interface corresponds to the UML Classifier.

Foundation::Core::Classifier

A metamodel Interface can only contain metamodel OperationPort, and OperationPort can only contain constrained FlowPort.

An Classifier Classifier contains UML Operation features, corresponding to the OperationPort of the metamodel Interface.

The metamodel FlowPort, owned by OperationPort, are mapped into the UML Parameter of the UML Operation. Parameter include the return type, and alternate exceptional result types.

The metamodel FlowPort of the OperationPort must comply with constraints, ensuring that the OperationPort FlowPort can be mapped to the Parameter of the UML Operation.

The metamodel Interface can only have OperationPort and FlowPort, because only these can be mapped to UML Operation. The OperationPort and FlowPort of Interface, can only have direction="responds".

The «InitiatingRole», initiator of the Classifier, is the role that invokes operations in the Classifier. The «RespondingRole», responder of the Classifier, is the role that implements the operations in the Classifier.

Relationship	Role(s)
ProtocolType	_uses
Generalization	supertype subtypes (only with Classifier)
Node	nodes
Connection	connections
PackageElements	owner ownedElements
Is_a_Choreography	is_specialization
Initiator	_initiator
Responder	_responder

Relationships

Correspondence of metamodel attributes with UML attributes

Metamodel attribute	UML attribute	UML attribute	Descriptio
name	name	owner	n
name	name	ModelElement	

Tagged Values

N/A

Constraints expressed generically

N/A

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

N/A

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.3.11 «PropertyDefinition»

Inheritance

Foundation::Core::Attribute «PropertyDefinition»

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

Relationships

Relationship	Role(s)
Properties	properties
PropertyType	type
TypeProperty	typeProperty
ValueFor	fills

Correspondence of metamodel attributes with UML attributes

Metamodel attribute name	UML attribute name	UML attribute owner	Descriptio n
name	name	ModelElement	
initial	initialValue	Attribute	
isLocked	changeability	StructuralFeature	

Tagged Values

N/A

Constraints expressed generically

The owner of an Attribute stereotyped «PropertyDefinition» must be stereotyped as «ProcessComponent» or its specializations.

The type of an Attribute stereotyped «PropertyDefinition» must be set, and be a DataType, or an Enumeration, or a Class stereotyped as «CompositeData» or its specializations.

If the «PropertyDefinition» is the typeProperty of a «FlowPort», owned by the same «ProcessComponent» that owns the «PropertyDefinition», then if the initialValue of the «ProperyDefinition» is set, then the value must be the name of a DataElement, Enumeration, «CompositeData» or «ExternalDocument».

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

```
context PropertyDefinition
inv:
    owner->notEmpty() and
    owner.isStereoKinded( "ProcessComponent")
inv:
    type->notEmpty() and (
        type.oclIsTypeOf( DataType) or
        type.oclIsTypeOf( Enumeration) or
        type.isStereoKinded( "CompositeData"))
-- ojo constrain initialValue when typeProperty of a
    FlowPort
```

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.3.12 «enumeration» DirectionKind

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the enumeration named "DirectionType" in the metamodel.

The DirectionKind enumeration in the metamodel is a UML Enumeration.

Enumeration Literals

Corresponding to the enumeration literals of same name in the metamodel.

- Initiates
- Responds

1.4.3.13 «enumeration» GranularityKind

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the enumeration named "GranularityKind" in the Meta-model, used by the metaatribute named "granularity", of ProcessComponent.

The set of candidate values for "granularity" in the metamodel, has been formalized in the UML Profile as an Enumeration named "GranularityKind".

Specializations of CCA may define specializations of GranularityKind with additional EnumerationLiterals..

Enumeration Literals

Corresponding to the enumeration literals of same name and semantics, in the metamodel.

- Program
- Owned
- Shared

1.4.4 Stereotypes for Choreography



Applicable Subset

StateMachine, CompositeState, Transition, Pseudostate, FinalState

1.4.4.1 «Choreography»

Inheritance

Behavioral_Elements::State_Machines::StateMachine «Choreography»

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

Relationships

Relationship	Role(s)
Is_a_Choreography	is_generalization
Nodes	_node
Connections	_connections

Tagged Values

N/A

Constraints expressed generically

The context of a StateMachine stereotyped as «Choreography» will be a Classifier stereotyped as «ProcessComponent» or a Class stereotyped as «Protocol» or a Subsystem stereotyped as <<CommunityProcess>>, or their specializations.

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

```
context Choreography
inv:
    context->notEmpty() and (
        context->isStereoKinded( «ProcessComponent») or
        context->isStereoKinded( «Protocol») or
        context->isStereoKinded( «CommunityProcess»))
```

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.4.2 «PortActivity»

Inheritance

Behavioral_Elements::State_Machines::CompositeState «PortActivity»

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

When a PortActivity in the metamodel references as "represents" a FlowPort, then it corresponds to a «PortActivity» stereotype of CompositeState with no subvertex.

When the PortActivity in the metamodel references as "represents" a MultiPort, then it corresponds to a «PortActivity» stereotype of CompositeState with subvertexes «PortActivity» corresponding to the «FlowPort» of the «MultiPort».

When the PortActivity in the metamodel references as "represents" a «ProtocolPort», then it corresponds to a «PortActivity» stereotype of CompositeState.

To choreograph the «Port» in the "represents" «ProtocolPort», in the context of the «PortActivity», then «PortActivity» subvertexes can be nested, corresponding to the «Port» of the «Protocol» of the "represents" «ProtocolPort».

Relationships

Relationship	Role(s)
Nodes	nodes
Target	target
Source	source
PortUsages	portsUsed
Represents	_represents

Metamodel attribute name	UML attribute name	UML attribute owner	Description
name	name	ModelElement	Initialize equal to the name of the ""represents"" «Port»

Tagged Values

Tagged Value	Туре	Multiplicit	Descriptio
name		y	n
represents	Class,	1	

Tagged Value	Туре	Multiplicit	Descriptio
name		y	n
	constrained to «Port» or its specializations		

Constraints expressed generically

N/A

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

context PortActivity

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.4.3 UML Transition

Inheritance

N/A

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

The metamodel element Transition corresponds to the UML model element of the same name.

Behavioral_Elements::State_Machines::Transition

The "preCondition" metaattribute corresponds to a UML Guard whose expression body will evaluate true under the same conditions as it would the "preCondition" metaattribute.

Relationship	Role(s)
Target	incoming
Source	outgoing
Connections	connections

Tagged Values

N/A

Constraints expressed generically

N/A

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

N/A

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.4.4 UML Pseudostate

Inheritance

N/A

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

The metamodel element Pseudostate corresponds to the UML model element of the same name.

Behavioral_Elements::State_Machines:: Pseudostate

CCA Pseudostate mapps to UML Pseudostate except when the CCA-metamodel attribute "kind" of the Pseudostate has value "Success" or "Failure", that map to stereotypes of UML FinalState. Please see stereotypes «Success» and «Failure», below.

The semantics of the metamodel element Pseudostate are equivalent to the semantics of UML Pseudostate with corresponding "kind" values.

Metamodel kind	UML kind : Foundation::Data_Types::PseudostateKind
choice	pk_choice
fork	pk_fork
initial	pk_initial
join	pk_join

Relationships

Relationship	Role(s)
Nodes	nodes
Target	<u>target</u>
Source	source
PortUsages	portsUsed

Tagged Values

N/A

Constraints expressed generically

N/A

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

N/A

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.4.5 «Success»

Inheritance

Behavioral_Elements::State_Machines::FinalState «Success»

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

Relationship	Role(s)
Nodes	nodes
Target	target
Source	source
PortUsages	portsUsed

Tagged Values

N/A

Constraints expressed generically

N/A

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

N/A

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.4.6 «Failure»

Inheritance

Behavioral_Elements::State_Machines::FinalState «Failure»

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

Relationships

Relationship	Role(s)
Nodes	nodes
Target	target
Source	source
PortUsages	portsUsed

Tagged Values

N/A

Constraints expressed generically

N/A

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

N/A

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.4.7 «enumeration» Status

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the enumeration of same name in the metamodel.

Enumeration Literals

Corresponding to the enumeration literals of the enumeration of same name in the metamodel,

- Success
- BusinessFailure
- TimeoutFailure
- TechnicalFailure
- AnyFailure
- AnyStatus





Applicable Subset

Collaboration, ClassifierRole, AssociationRole, Constraint, Binding.

1.4.5.1 «Composition»

Inheritance

Behavioral_Elements::Collaborations::Collaboration «Composition»

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

Relationship	Role(s)
Is_a_Composition	is_generalization
Generalization	parent child {only with

	«Composition»}
ComponentIUsages	owner
Nodes	<u>_nodes</u>
Connections	<u>connections</u>
Bindings	owner
PackageElements	owner ownerElements
UML Namespace owner of	<u>ClassifierRoles</u>
«PortConnector»	

Tagged Values

N/A

Constraints expressed generically

The supertype of a «Composition» must be a «Composition».

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

context Composition

```
inv:
    supertype->isEmpty() or
    supertype.isStereoKinded("Composition")
```

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.5.2 «ComponentUsage»

Inheritance

Behavioral_Elements::Collaborations::ClassifierRole

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

Relationship	Role(s)
Nodes	nodes
<u>ComponentUsages</u>	uses
Fills	fills

PortUsages	extent
Configuration	owner

Correspondence of metamodel attributes with UML attributes

Metamodel attribute	UML attribute	UML attribute	Descriptio
name	name	owner	n
name	name	ModelElement	

Tagged Values

N/A

Constraints expressed generically

N/A

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

context ComponentUsage

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.5.3 «PortConnector»

Inheritance

Behavioral_Elements::Collaborations::ClassifierRole «PortConnector»

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

Relationship	Role(s)		
PortUsages	PortsUsed, extent		
Represents	represents		
Target	target		
Source	source		
Nodes	nodes		
Metamodel attribute	UML attribute	UML attribute	Descriptio
---------------------	---------------	---------------	------------
name	name	owner	n
name	name	ModelElement	

Correspondence of metamodel attributes with UML attributes

Tagged Values

N/A

Constraints expressed generically

If the «Port» used by the «PortConnector» is a «FlowPort», and the «FlowPort» specifies a "typeProperty" (a «PropertyDefinition» in the owner «ProcessComponent»), then the actual type of the «PortConnector» will be a DataType, Enumeration, «CompositeData» or «ExternalDocument», with the name equal to the value of the «PropertyValue» of the «ComponentUsage» corresponding to the «PropertyDefinition» in the used «ProcessComponent».

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

context PortConnector

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.5.4 «Connection»

Inheritance

Behavioral_Elements::Collaborations::AssociationRole «Connection»

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of named "Connection" in the metamodel.

If one of the «Connection»s link participants is a «PortConnector» that "uses" a UML Classifier (corresponding to a metamodel Interface), then the UML Operation that will be invoked on the Classifier, is identified by a UML Message of a UML Interaction in the «Composition». The UML Message will have an action attribute initialized with a CallAction on the UML Operation.

Relationships

Relationship	Role(s)
Connections	connections
Source	outgoing
Target	incoming

Tagged Values

N/A

Constraints expressed generically

N/A

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

context Connection

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.5.5 «PropertyValue»

Inheritance

Foundation::Core::Constraint

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

Relationships

Relationship	Role(s)
Configuration	configuration
ValueFor	_fills

Tagged Values

N/A

Constraints expressed generically

If the «PropertyValue» configures the value of a «PropertyDefinition» that is the "typeProperty" of a «FlowPort», then the value configured by the «PropertyValue» must be the name of a DataType, Enumeration, «CompositeData» or «ExternalDocument».

A «PropertyValue» is an ownedElement of a «Composition» as Namespace.

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

context PropertyValue

```
inv:
    namespace->notEmpty() and
    namespace.isStereoKinded("Composition")
```

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.5.6 «ContextualBinding»

Inheritance

Foundation::Core::Binding «ContextualBinding»

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

A «ContextualBinding» is an ownedElement of a «Composition».

The "client" of a ContextualBinding is a «ComponentUsage» in the «Composition».

The "supplier" of a ContextualBinding is a «ProcessComponent».

In the «Composition», the «ProcessComponent» will be used as the "uses" for the «ComponenUsage».

Relationships

N/A

Tagged Values

N/A

Constraints expressed generically

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

context ContextualBinding

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.5.7 «CommunityProcess»

Inheritance

ModelManagement::Subsystem «CommunityProcess»

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

Relationships

N/A

Tagged Values

N/A

Constraints expressed generically

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

context CommunityProcess

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.6 DocumentModel «profile» Package

The metamodel elements named Attribute, DataType and Enumeration correspond to the UML model elements of the same name and are not stereotyped.

The metaattribute named "initialValue" of the metamodel Attribute, corresponds to the attribute of same name of UML Attribute.

The metaattribute named "required" and "many" of the metamodel Attribute, are combined as a UML Multiplicity. The MultiplicityRange, will have the "lower" attribute value equal to 0, if the corresponding metamodel Attribute has the "required" meta-attribute equal to false, and greater than 0, if "required" is true. The MultiplicityRange will have the "upper" attribute value equal to 1, if the corresponding metamodel Attribute has the "required", is true. The MultiplicityRange will have the "upper" attribute value equal to 1, if the corresponding metamodel Attribute has the "many" meta-attribute equal to false, and greater than 1, if "many" is true.

The metamodel element named Enumeration has a metaattribute named "initial" and type EnumerationValue. In the UML Profile, the responsibility of specifying an initial value, is delegated to the UML Attribute with type equal to the Enumeration. The initialValue attribute, of type Expression, in UML Attribute will be used to specify the default initial value of Enumeration.

The metamodel element named Enumeration Value corresponds to the UML model element named EnumerationLiteral.

The metamodel Attribute and UML Attribute correspond to each other completely, with the exception of the meta-attribute named "isByValue".

To represent "isByValue", a TaggedDefinition of same name and type Boolean is defined, to be applied on UML Attribute.

The TaggedDefinition is defined without creating a Stereotype of Attribute.



1.4.6.1 «CompositeData»

Inheritance

Foundation::Core::Class «CompositeData»

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

The «isByValue» TaggedDefinition can be applied to UML Attribute feature of «CompositeData».

Relationships

Relationship	Role(s)
Generalization	<pre>supertype subtypes {only with «CompositeData»}</pre>
PropertyType	type
AttributeType	type
DataAttribute	owner
DataConstraint	constrainedElement
FlowType	type
PackageContent	ownedElements
ImportElement	importedElement

Tagged Values

N/A

Constraints expressed generically

The supertype of an «CompositeData» must be a «CompositeData».

The type of Attributes of «CompositeData» will be a DataType, an Enumeration, or a Class stereotyped as «CompositeData», or a DataType stereotyped «ExternalDocument».

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

context CompositeData
inv:
 supertype->isEmpty() or
 supertype.isStereoKinded("CompositeData")

inv:

```
feature->select( aFeature : Feature |
aFeature.isOCLTypeOf( Attribute))
->collect( aFeature : Feature | aFeature.oclAsType(
Attribute).type)
->forAll( aClassifier : Classifier |
    aClassifier.isOclKindOf( DataType) or
    aClassifier.isOclKindOf( Enumeration) or
    aClassifier.isStereoKinded( "CompositeData") or
    aClassifier.isStereoKinded( "ExternalDocument"))
```

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.6.2 "isByValue" Tagged Definition

The metamodel Attributes and UML Attributes correspond to each other completely, with the exception of the meta-attribute named "isByValue".

To represent the metamodel attribute named "isByValue", a Tagged Definition of named "isByValue" and type Boolean is defined, to be applied on UML Attribute.

The Tagged Definition is defined without creating a Stereotype of Attribute.

Tagged Value name	Туре	Multiplicit y	Description
isByValue	Boolea n	01	default = true

1.4.6.3 «DataInvariant»

Inheritance

Foundation::Core::Constraint «DataInvariant»

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

Relationships

Relationship	Role(s)
DataConstraint	constrains

Correspondence of metamodel attributes with UML attributes

Metamodel attribute	UML attribute	UML attribute	Descriptio
name	name	owner	n
expression	body	Constraint	

Tagged Values

Tagged Value name	Туре	Multiplicity	Description
isOnCommit	Boolea	1	default=false
	n		

Constraints expressed generically

N/A

Formal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

context DataInvariant

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.6.4 «ExternalDocument»

Inheritance

Foundation::Core::DataType «ExternalDocument»

Instantiation in a model

Concrete

Semantics

Corresponds to the element of same name in the metamodel.

Relationships

Relationship	Role(s)
Generalization	<pre>supertype subtypes {only with «ExternalDocument»}</pre>
<u>PropertyType</u>	type
AttributeType	type
DataAttribute	owner
DataConstraint	<u>constrainedElement</u>
FlowType	type
PackageContent	ownedElements
ImportElement	importedElement

Tagged Values

Tagged Value name	Туре	Multiplicity	Description
mimeType	String	01	
specURL	String	01	
externalNam e	String	01	

Constraints expressed generically

N/AFormal Constraints Expressed in Terms of the UML Metamodel

context ExternalDocument

Diagram Notation

N/A

1.4.7 UML Model_Management Package

There is no «profile» Package in the UML Profile for CCA, corresponding to the ModelManagement Package of the metamodel.

All the concrete metamodel elements have counterparts in UML, and therefore no stereotypes are required.

The metamodel elements named Package and ElementImport correspond to the UML model elements of the same name.

1.4.8 Relationships

This section specifies the correspondence between associations defined in the CCA Meta-model and associations defined in the UML Meta-model. The relationship name is the same as that found in the CCA Model diagrams (detail level). This correspondence is shown in the tables below, with a header for each relationship in the metamodel. This section provides detailed information for those implementing transformations between UML and MOF CCA tools, it is not required to use or understand CCA.

How to use this section.

Each relationship between two concepts in the metamodel, or their specializations, is represented with a UML relationship(s), and in some cases as a taggedValue, or by relating through UML Association.

The tables show the Left Hand and Right Hand sides of relationships, with the role names, the actual model elements at the ends of the relationship, and the specializations or stereotypes of interest, related through the relationship - directly or by inheritance. Multiple related metamodel elements or stereotypes may appear, at any side of relationships used by multiple elements.

The semantics of each row and column in the table are

- For each relationship in the metamodel, there is one or more tables, each table showing a particular mapping for that relationship. Each table has two lines one for the CCA model (MOF) and one for the UML model (UML)
- For each relationship mapping in the metamodel :
- there is one row, labeled MOF, that describes the relationship in the metamodel. Its columns mean :
 - "LeftHandSide" in MOF rows, it names the MOF metamodel element that participates or inherits the relationship whose UML mapping we want to express. It may be the same as "LeftHandSide related", or a subtype of it. There may be multiple names, for various subtypes of polymorphically related metamodel elements.
 - "LeftHandSide related": in MOF rows, it names the actual metamodel element referenced by the relationship. May be the same as "LeftHandSide", or a supertype of it.
 - o "LeftHandSide role name": in MOF rows, it names the relationship role on the LeftHandSide.
 - o "RightHandSide role name": in MOF rows, it names the relationship role on the RightHandSide.
 - "RightHandSide related": in MOF rows it names the other actual MOF metamodel element referenced by the relationship. May be the same as 'RightHandSide", or a supertype of it.

UML for EDOC - CCA

- "RightHandSide": in MOF rows, it names the other metamodel element that participates or inherits the relationship whose UML mapping we want to express. It may be the same as in "RightHandSide related", or a subtype of it. There may be multiple names, for various subtypes of polymorphically related metamodel elements.
- row labeled 'UML' defining the corresponding UML Meta-model relationship. There may be additional tables for various UML mappings, describing alternative representations of the metamodel relationship in UML. The UML columns mean :
 - "LeftHandSide": In UML rows, it names the UML stereotype corresponding to the LHS MOF metamodel element. There may be multiple names, for various stereotypes and specializations.
 - "LeftHandSide related": In UML rows, it names the baseClass of the LHS UML stereotype, or the supertype of the baseClass, that is the actual UML model element referenced by the relationship.
 - o "LeftHandSide role name": in UML rows, it names the relationship role on the LeftHandSide
 - o "RightHandSide role name": in UML rows, it names the relationship role on the RightHandSide '.
 - "RightHandSide related": In UML rows, it names the baseClass of the RHS UML stereotype, or the supertype of the baseClass, that is the actual UML model element referenced by the relationship.
 - "RightHandSide": In UML rows, it names the UML stereotype corresponding to the RHS MOF metamodel element. There may be multiple names, for various stereotypes and specializations.

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	Attribute	Attribute	_type	type	DataElement	DataType or Enumeration or CompositeData ExternalDocument
UML	«PropertyDefintion»	Attribute	typedFeature	type	Classifier	DataType or Enumeration or «CompositeData» «ExternalDocument »

1.4.8.1 AttributeType

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	Composition	Composition	owner	bindings	ContextualBinding	ContextualBinding
UML	«Composition »	Namespace	namespace	ownedElement	ModelElement	«ContextualBinding »

1.4.8.2 Bindings

1.4.8.3 BindsTo

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	ContextualBinding	ProcessComponent	_bindsTo	bindsTo	ProcessComponent	ProcessComponent
UML	«ContextualBinding »	ModelElement	supplierDependency	supplier	ModelElement	«ProcessComponent »

1.4.8.4 Configuration

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	ComponentUsage	ComponentUsage	owner	configuration	PropertyValue	PropertyValue
UML	«ComponentUsage»	ModelElement	constrainedElement	constraint	Constraint	«PropertyValue»

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	Choreography	Choreography	_choreography	connections	AbstractTransition	Transition
UML	«Choreography»	StateMachine or	stateMachine	transitions	Transition	Transition

1.4.8.5 Connections in Choreography

1.4.8.6 Connections in Composition

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	Composition	Choreography	choreography	_connections	AbstractTransition	Transition

1.4.8.7 DataAtribute

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	CompositeData	CompositeData	owner	feature	DataElement	Attribute
TINT	"CompositeData»	Classifier	owner	feature	Feature	Attribute

1.4.8.8 DataConstraint

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	DataInvariant	DataInvariant	constraints	constrainedElement	DataElement	DataElement subtypes: DataType or Enumeration or CompositeData or ExternalDocument

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
UML	«DataInvariant»	Constraint	constraint	constrainedElement	ModelElement	DataType or Enumeration or «CompositeData» or «ExternalDocument »

1.4.8.9 DataGeneralization

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	CompositeData	CompositeData	supertype	subtypes	CompositeData	CompositeData
UML	«CompositeData»	GeneralizableElemen t	generalization.parent	specialization. child	GeneralizableElement	«CompositeData»

1.4.8.10 Fills

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	ContextualBinding	ProcessComponent	_fills	fills	ProcessComponent	ProcessComponent
UML	«ContextualBinding »	ModelElement	clientDeendency	fills	ModelElement	«ProcessComponent »

1.4.8.11	FlowType
----------	----------

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	FlowPort	FlowPort	_ type	type	DataElement	DataType or Enumeration or CompositeData or ExternalDocument
UML	«FlowPort»	ClassifierRole (indirectly thru AssociationEnd and Association)	association. association. connection. participant	association. association. connection. participant	ClassifierRole (indirectly thru AssociationEnd and Association)	DataType or Enumeration or «CompositeData» or «ExternalDocument »

1.4.8.12 Generalization

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	ProcessComponent	Choreography	supertype	subtypes	Choreography	ProcessComponent
UML	«ProcessComponent »	GeneralizableElemen t	generalization.parent	specialization. child	Generalizable Element	«ProcessComponent »

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	Protocol	Choreography	supertype	subtypes	Choreography	Protocol
UML	«Protocol»	GeneralizableElemen t	generalization.parent	specialization. child	Generalizable Element	«Protocol»

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	CommunityProcess	Choreography	supertype	subtypes	Choreography	CommunityProcess
UML	«CommunityProcess »	GeneralizableElemen t	generalization.parent	specialization. child	Generalizable Element	«CommunityProcess »

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	Interface	Choreography	supertype	subtypes	Choreography	Interface
UML	Classifier	GeneralizableElemen t	generalization.parent	specialization. child	Generalizable Element	Classifier

1.4.8.13 ImportElement

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	ElementImport	ElementImport	elementImport	modelElement	PackageContent	Package or DataType or Enumeration or CompositeData or ExternalDocument or Protocol or Interface or ProcessComponent or CommunityProcess

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
UML	ElementImport	ElementImport	elementImport	importedElement	ModelElement	Package or DataType or Enumeration or «CompositeData» or «Protocol» or Classifier or «ProcessComponent» or «CommunityProcess»

1.4.8.14 Initiator

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	Protocol or Interface	Protocol	_initiator	initiator	InitiatingRole	InitiatingRole
UML	«Protocol» or Classifier	Classifier	association. association. connection. participant	association. association. connection. participant	Classifier	«InitiatingRole»

1.4.8.15 Is_a_Choreography

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	ProcessComponent or Protocol or Interface	ProcessComponent	is specialization	is generalization	Choreography	Choreography
UML	«ProcessComponent » or «Protocol» or Classifier	ModelElement	context	behavior	StateMachine	«Choreography»

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	ProcessComponent ComunityProcess	ProcessComponent	is specialization	is generalization	Composition	Composition
UML	«ProcessComponent » «ComunityProcess»	Classifier	represented Classifier	collaboration	Collaboration	«Composition»

1.4.8.16 Is_a_Composition

1.4.8.17 Nodes in Choreograpy

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	Choreography	Choreography	_choreography	_nodes	Node	PortActivity or Pseudostate
UML	«Choreography»	StateMachine	container. stateMachine container. container. stateMachine	top.subvertex top.subvertex. subvertex	StateVertex	«PortActivity» or «Success» or «Failure» or Pseudostate

1.4.8.18 Nodes in Composition

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	Choreography	Choreography	_choreography	_nodes	Node	PortActivity or Pseudostate

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
UML	«Choreography»	Composition	namespace	ownedElement	ClassifierRole	«PortActivity» or «Success» or «Failure» or Pseudostate

1.4.8.19 PackageElements

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	Package ProcessComponent Protocol Interface CommunityProcess	Package	owner	ownedElements	PackageContent	Package or DataType or Enumeration or CompositeData or ExternalDocument or Protocol or Interface or ProcessComponent or CommunityProcess
UML	Package «ProcessComponent » «Protocol» Classifier «CommunityProcess »	Namespace	owner	ownedElement	ModelElement	Package or DataType or Enumeration or «CompositeData» or «Protocol» or Classifier or «ProcessComponent » or «CommunityProcess » indirectly through behavior.top.subvert ex

1.4.8.20 Ports

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	ProcessComponent or Protocol or MultiPort *	PortOwner	owner	ports	Port	FlowPort or ProtocolPort or MultiPort
UML	«ProcessComponent » or «Protocol» or «MultiPort»*	Classifier (indirectly thru AssociationEnd and Association)	association. association. connection. participant the Association may be stereotyped as «initiates» or «responds»	association. association. connection. participant	Classifier (indirectly thru AssociationEnd and Association)	«FlowPort» or «ProtocolPort» or «MultiPort»

(*) Constrained to «FlowPort». See Stereotype definitions, in sections above.

Additional Notes:

The MOF row is the description of the relationship in the metamodel:

The ProcessComponent, Protocol and MultiPort inherits from PortOwner, and therefore has a role 'owner' in a relationship with Port, which participates in the relationship with the role name 'ports'. Specific subtypes of Port are FlowPort, ProtocolPort, OperationPort and MultiPort, that are related with ProcessComponent through the relationship inherited from Port.

The UML row identifies the UML relationships to represent the relationship in the metamodel, above.

The stereotypes «ProcessComponent», «Protocol» and «MultiPort», corresponding to the metamodel elements of the same name, has a baseClass inheriting from Classifier, and therefore may be the participant in an AssociationEnd of a UML Association, with Classifier as the participant of the other AssociationEnd. The stereotypes with baseClass subtype of Classifier, «Port», «FlowPort», «ProtocolPort», and «MultiPort», corresponding to the metamodel elements of same name, are related with «ProcessComponent» through the said relationships with UML AssociationEnd and UML Association. MultiPort may only aggregate FlowPort.

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	ProcessComponent or Protocol or Interface	PortOwner	owner	ports	Port	OperationPort
UML	«ProcessComponent » or «Protocol» or Classifier	Classifier	owner	feature	Feature	Operation

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	OperationPort	PortOwner	owner	ports	Port	Exactly one FlowPort with direction ="Responds"
UML	Operation	BehavioralFeature	behavioralFeature	parameter	Parameter	For each attribute of the «FlowPort».type a Parameter with kind=pdk_in and Parameter.type = the type of the Attribute

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	OperationPort	PortOwner	owner	ports	Port	At most one FlowPort with direction="Responds " and postCondition="Suc cess"
UML	Operation	BehavioralFeature	behavioralFeature	parameter	Parameter	Parameter with Parameter.type= FlowPort.type and kind=pdk_return

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	OperationPort	PortOwner	owner	ports	Port with direction="Responds" and postCondition<>"Succ ess"	FlowPort
UML	Operation	BehavioralFeature	context	raisedSignal	Signal	Signal with feature = «FlowPort».type.feat ure

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	Interface	PortOwner	owner	ports	Port	OperationPort
UML	Classifier	Classifier	owner	feature	Feature	Operation

A metamodel Interface, owner of OperationPort, owner of FlowPort, map in the UML Profile, to a UML Classifier, owner of UML Operation, with UML Parameter with the type corresponding to the type of the metamodel FlowPort.

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	OperationPort	PortOwner	owner	ports	Port	FlowPort
UML	Operation	BehavioralFeature	behavioralFeature	parameter	Parameter	Parameter

1.4.8.21 PortUsages in Choreography

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	ProcessComponent or Protocol	UsageContext	extent	portsUsed	PortUsage	PortActivity or Pseudostate
UML	«ProcessComponent » or «Protocol» indirectly through «Choreography»	ModelElement indirectly through StateMachine	indirectly through container. stateMachine. context	indirectly through behavior.top.subverte x	StateVertex indirectly through StateMachine	«PortActivity» or Pseudostate or «Success» or «Failure» indirectly through «Choreography»

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	PortActivity	UsageContext	extent	portsUsed	PortUsage	PortActivity or Pseudostate
UML	«PortActivity»	CompositeState	container	subvertex	StateVertex	«PortActivity» or Pseudostate or «Success» or «Failure»

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	ProcessComponent	UsageContext	extent	portsUsed	PortUsage	PortConnector
UML	«ProcessComponent » indirectly through «Composition»	Classifier indirectly through Collaboration	indirectly through _representedClassifie r. ownedElements	indirectly through owner. representedClassifier or owner.owner	ClassifierRole indirectly through Collaboration	«PortConnector» indirectly through «Composition»

1.4.8.22	PortUsages in	Composition
----------	---------------	-------------

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	ComponentUsage	UsageContext	extent	portsUsed	PortUsage	PortConnector
UML	«ComponentUsage»	ClassifierRole (indirectly thru AssociationEndRole and AssociationRole)	association. association. connection. participant	association. association. connection. participant	ClassifierRole (indirectly thru AssociationEndRole and AssociationRole)	«PortConnector»

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	PortConnector	UsageContext	extent	portsUsed	PortUsage	PortConnector
UML	«PortConnector»	ClassifierRole (indirectly thru AssociationEndRole and AssociationRole)	association. association. connection. participant	association. association. connection. participant	ClassifierRole (indirectly thru AssociationEndRole and AssociationRole)	«PortConnector»

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	ProcessComponent	ProcessComponent	component	properties	PropertyDefinition	PropertyDefinition
UML	«ProcessComponent »	Classifier	owner	feature	StructuralFeature Attribute	«Property Definition»

1.4.8.23 Properties

1.4.8.24 PropertyType

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	PropertyDefinition	PropertyDefinition	_type	type	DataElement	DataType or Enumeration or CompositeData ExternalDocument
UML	«PropertyDefintion»	Attribute	typedFeature	type	Classifier	DataType or Enumeration or «CompositeData» «ExternalDocument »

1.4.8.25 ProtocolType

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	ProtocolPort	ProtocolPort	_uses	uses	Protocol	Protocol

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
UML	«ProtocolPort»	GeneralizableElemen t	specialization.child	generalization.parent	Generalizable Element	«Protocol»

1.4.8.26 Represents in Choreography

The metamodel element Choreography is represented by a UML StateMachine, where a PortActivity in the metamodel is mapped to a stereotype of CompositeState.

The Represents relationship in the metamodel, that links a PortActivity with a Port, corresponds in UML to a TaggedValue of the Stereotype «PortActivity».

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	FlowPort or ProtocolPort or OperationPort or MultiPort	Port	represents	_represents	PortUsage	PortActivity
UML	«FlowPort» or «ProtocolPort» or «OperationPort » or «MultiPort»	Class	taggedValue "uses"	N/A : tagged values not bidirectional	SimpleState or CompositeState or SubmachineState or StubState or ActionState or SubactivityState	«PortActivity»

1.4.8.27 Represents in Composition

The metamodel element Composition is represented by a UML Collaboration.

A PortConnector is mapped to a ClassifierRole.

The "Represents" relationship linking a PortActivity with a Port, is represented in UML as a the UML relationship between a ClassifierRole and its base Classifier.

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	FlowPort or ProtocolPort or OperationPort or MultiPort	Port	represents	_represents	PortUsage	PortConnector
UML	«FlowPort» or «ProtocolPort» or «OperationPort » or «MultiPort»	Classifier	base	_base	ClassifierRole	«PortConnector»

1.4.8.28 Responder

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	Protocol or Interface	Protocol	_initiator	initiator	RespondingRole	RespondingRole
UML	«Protocol» or Classifier	Classifier	association. association. connection. participant	association. association. connection. participant	Classifier	«RespondingRole»

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	PortActivity or Pseudostate	Node	target	incoming	AbstractTransition	Transition
UML	«PortActivity» or «Success» or «Failure» or Pseudostate	StateVertex	target	incoming	Transition	Transition

1.4.8.30 Target

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	PortActivity or Pseudostate	Node	source	outgoing	AbstractTransition	Transition
UML	«PortActivity» or «Success» or «Failure» or Pseudostate	StateVertex	source	outgoing	Transition	Transition

1.4.8.31 TypeProperty

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	FlowPort	FlowPort	_typeProperty	typeProperty	PropertyDefinition	PropertyDefinition

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
UML	«FlowPort»	Class	N/A : tagged values not bidirectional	taggedValue named "typeExp"	Attribute	«PropertyDefinition »

1.4.8.32 Uses

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	Composition	Composition	owner	uses	ComponentUsage	ComponentUsage
UML	«Composition»	Namespace	owner	ownedElement	ModelElement	«ComponentUsage»

1.4.8.33 ValueFor

MOF or UML	LeftHandSide	LeftHandSide related	LeftHandSide role name	RightHandSide role name	RightHandSide related	RightHandSide
MOF	PropertyValue	PropertyValue	elementImport	fills	PropertyDefinition	PropertyDefinition
UML	«PropertyValue»	Constraint	elementImport	constrainedElement	ModelElement	PropertyDefinition

1.4.9 General OCL Definition Constraints

These definition constrains have been incorporated from the OMG Document ad/2000-02-02, UML Profile for CORBA, Joint Revised Submission Version 1.0 by Data Access Corporation, DSTC, Genesis Development Corporation, Telelogic AB, UBS AG, Lucent Technologies, Inc. and Persistence Software.

```
context ModelElement
  def:
    let allStereotypes : Set( Stereotype) =
                 -- set with the Stereotype applied to the
                 -- ModelElement and all the stereotypes
                 -- inherited by that Stereotype
           self.stereotype->union(
     self.stereotype.generalization.parent.allStereotypes)
     let isStereoTyped( theStereotypeName : String ) :
  Boolean =
                 -- returns true if an Stereotype
                 -- with name equalto the argument as been
                 -- applied to the ModelElement
           self.stereotype.name = theStereotypeName
    let isStereoKinded( theStereotypeName : String ) :
  Boolean =
                 -- returns true if an Stereotype with its
                 -- name equal to the argument, or equal to
                 -- any of its inherited Stereotypes,
                 -- has been applied to the ModelElement,
           self.allStereotypes->exists( aStereotype :
  Stereotype |
            aStereotype.name = theStereotypeName)
```

1.5 Diagramming CCA using UML notation

CCA models may be diagramed using generic as well as CCA specific notations. The generic notations (as found in UML 1.4) are supported by a wide variety of tools which allow CCA concepts to be made part of the larger enterprise picture without specific tool support. When using generic notations the CCA profile stereotypes should be used. CCA aware design & implementation tools may provide the CCA specific notation in addition to or instead of the other forms of notation.

This section suggests a non-normative way to utilize generic UML diagrams and CCA notation to express CCA concepts. For the generic diagrams it does so using an "out of the box" UML tool – Rational Rose 2000e \mathbb{R} .

1.5.1 Types of Diagram

The diagrams used to express CCA concepts are as follows:

1.5.1.1 Class Diagrams for the Document Model

These are used to express the document model.

1.5.1.2 Class Diagrams for the Component Structure

These are used to define components & protocols, their ports and properties.

1.5.1.3 Collaboration Diagrams for Composition

These are used to express the composition of components within another component or community processes.

1.5.1.4 State or Activity Diagrams for Protocols & Process Components

These express the ordering constraints on ports within or between components.

1.5.1.5 CCA Notation for Process Component Structure & Composition

This expresses the component structure and composition in a more compact and intuitive form, thus replacing the class and collaboration diagrams. We will show how the CCA notation expresses the same concepts found in the generic diagrams.

1.5.2 The Buy/Sell Example

The techniques for diagramming CCA will be presented by example. We will utilize a simple buy/sell business process to illustrate the concepts. We will summarize the points in the specification from the perspective of using a diagramming tool.

The basic business problem of buy/sell is to define a "community process" with two actors – a buyer and seller. These two actors "collaborate" within this process to effect an order.

1.5.3 Collaboration diagram shows community process

At the highest level we show a collaboration diagram of the Buy/Sell community process. In the design tool we also created a package for this process to hold the relevant model elements. See Figure 20.



This collaboration shows both business roles: "Buyer" and "Seller". These are each a "ComponentUsage" in the CCA Meta-model. It also shown that the buyer has a "buys" port and the seller has a "sells" port that are connected by a Connection in this collaboration. The "buys" and "sells" ports are "PortConnectors" in the CCA Meta-model. The line between "Buys" and "sells" indicates that the buyer and seller collaborate on these ports using a "Connection".

There is no way to show which port is the initiator and which is the responder in a collaboration diagram, so we have noted the "buys" in blue and "sells" in green, for those of you who have color (for others you may be able to tell from the shade).

Note that "buys" and "sells" are shown inside of "buyer" and "seller", respectively. The use of this nested classifier notation shown that the ports are owned by the component. We could have also shown the ports separately with a connected line, but nesting them seems to better reflect the underlying semantics.

The design tool we are using does not show stereotypes in a collaboration diagram, if they did show you would see that buyer and seller have the <<ComponentUsage>> stereotype and "Buys" and "Sells" have the <<PortConnector>> stereotype. You would also see that the entire package has the stereotype <<CommunityProcess>>.

The following is a summary of the elements, stereotypes and base elements you would use in a collaboration diagram for a community process:

CCA element	Stereotype	Base UML Element	Example Elements
CommunityProcess	< <communityprocess>></communityprocess>	Package or Subsystem	BuySell
ComponentUsage	< <componentusage>></componentusage>	Classifier Role (Object*)	Buyer, Seller
PortConnector	< <portconnector>></portconnector>	Classifier Role (Object*)	Buys, Sells
Connection	None	Association Role (Object Link*)	Link from buys to sells

1.5.3.1 Summary of stereotypes for a Community Process

CCA element	Stereotype	Base UML Element	Example Elements
ContextualBinding	< <contextualbinding>></contextualbinding>	Binding (Note*)	None – used to refine which component type to use
PropertyValue	< <propertyvalue>></propertyvalue>	Constraint (Note*)	None – use to set a configuration property of a component

Table 9: Summary of stereotypes for a Community Process

* Denotes the name used in the design tool

1.5.4 Class diagram for protocol structure

The buys and sells ports seen in the community process must have a prescribed protocol, a description of what information flows between them. This is shown in a class diagram (Figure 21). Additional information as to when information flows between them is shown on an associated state or activity diagram. The class diagram can include the definition of the data that flows between them (the document model), or this information can be shown on a separate class diagram.



This diagram shows the protocol as well as the data used in the protocol (detail suppressed for this view). The protocol is a class stereotyped as << Protocol>>. It has a set of flow ports: SendOrder, GetConfirmation, GetDenied. Each of these flow ports has an association to the data that flows over it; Order, OrderConfirmation and OrderDenied – respectivly.

A very important aspect of a port is its direction (initiates or responds), which is a tagged value. Since these tagged values don't sow on the diagram we have also stereotyped the relation to the ports as either <<initiates>> or <<responds>> and have changed their color as was done in the collaboration diagram.

What this diagram shows is that implementers of the protocol "BuySellProtocol" will receive a "SendOrder" containing an "Order" and will send out a "GetConfirmation" (with data "OrderConfirmation") and/or a "GetDenied" (with data "OrderDenied").

The following is a summary of the elements, stereotypes and base elements you would use in a collaboration diagram for a protocol:

CCA element	Stereotype	Base UML Element	Example Elements
Protocol	< <protocol>></protocol>	Class or Subsystem	BuySellProtocol
FlowPort	< <flowport>></flowport>	Class	SendOrder, GetConfirmation, GetDenied
"Ports" relation	Optional: < <iinitiates>> or <<responds>></responds></iinitiates>	Association	Lines between FlowPorts and BuySellProtocol
ProtocolPort	< <protocolport>></protocolport>	Class	None – used to nest one protocol in another
OperationPort	< <operationport>></operationport>	Class	None – used to define a two-way message (could have been used for BuySell)
InitiatingRole	< <initiatingrole>> with relation to protocol</initiatingrole>	Class	None – Used to name the initiating "side" of the protocol (the client)
RespondingRole	< <respondingrole>> with relation to protocol</respondingrole>	Class	None – Used to name the responding "side" of the protocol (the service)
Interface	Optional: < <interface>></interface>	Classifier	None – defines an object service
Direction (value)	< <iinitiatiates>></iinitiatiates>	Association	SendOrder
Direction (value)	< <responds>></responds>	Association	OrderConfirmation, OrderDenied

1.5.4.1 Summary of stereotypes for a Protocol

Table 10: Summary of stereotypes for a Protocol

1.5.4.2 Summary of tagged values for a Protocol

While tagged values can't be seen in the diagram, these elements will have tagged values. The tagged values used to define a protocol are:

CCA attribute	Tagged Vale	Applies to	Example Values
synchronous	synchronous	FlowPort, ProtocolPort, OperationPort, MultiPort	All ports Synchronous=false (The response may come back at a later time)
transactional	transactional	FlowPort, ProtocolPort, OperationPort, MultiPort	True for all ports – each interaction is atomic.

CCA attribute	Tagged Vale	Applies to	Example Values
direction	direction	FlowPort, ProtocolPort, OperationPort, MultiPort	Initiates for SendOrder. responds for GetConfirmation & GetDenied
postCondition	postcondition	FlowPort, ProtocolPort, OperationPort, MultiPort	GetConfirmation=Success GetDenied=BusinessFailure

Table 11: Summary of tagged values for a Protocol

1.5.5 Activity Diagram (Choreography) for a Protocol

The class diagram for a protocol (Figure 22) shows what the protocol will send and receive but not when. The activity diagram of the protocol adds this information by specifying when each port will perform its activity (sending and receiving information).



As you can see, the activity diagram for the protocol is quite simple, it shows the start state, one activiation of each port and the transitions between them. It also shows that after the "SendOrder" a choice is made and either "GetConfirmation" or "GetDenied" is activated, but not both.

The start state (Black circle) shown where the protocol will start. It then goes to a "PortActivity" for the SnedOrder port (the port and the activity have the same name in this case). It then shows a choice (the diamond) and PortActivites for GetConfirmation and GetDenied ports. It then shows that either of these ends the protocol, but that GetConfirmation ends it with the status of Business Success while GetDenied ends it with BusinessFailure. (Success and failure can be tested in later transitions, using a guard on the
transition). The transitions (each of the arrows) clearly shows the flow of control in the protocol.

Note that if there are multiple activities for one port it may be convenient to use swim lanes, one for each port. But swim lanes are not required.

What can not be seen is that each PortActivity has a tagged value: "represents" to connect it to the port it is an activity of. In the example "represents" will be the same as the activity name.

CCA element	Stereotype	Base UML Element	Example Elements
Choreography	< <choreography>></choreography>	StateMachine	BuySellProtocol (not visible)
PortActivity	< <portactivity>></portactivity>	State	SendOrder, GetConfirmation, GetDenied
Psedostate (initial)	None (Black circle)	Psedostate (initial)	Start state
Psedostate (fork)	None (bar)	Psedostate (fork)	None – shows concurrency in process
Psedostate (join)	None (bar)	Psedostate (join)	None – shows concurrency coming together.
Psedostate (choice)	None (diamond)	Psedostate (choice)	Choice of confirm or denied.
Transition	< <choreographytransition>></choreographytransition>	Transition	All arrows

1.5.5.1 Summary of stereotypes for an Activity Diagram or Choreography

Table 12: Stereotypes for an Activity Diagram or Choreography

1.5.5.2 Summary of tagged values for a Choreography

While tagged values can't be seen in the diagram, these elements will have tagged values. The tagged values used to define a Choreography are:

CCA attribute	Tagged Vale	Applies to	Example Values
represents	< <represents>></represents>	PortActivity	All Activities
			Represents has the same value as element name

Table 13: Tagged Values for a Choreography

1.5.6 Class Diagram for Component Structure

The external "contract" of a component is shown on two diagrams – the class diagram for structure and the activity diagram for Choreography (much like the protocol). The structure shows the process component(s), their ports and properties.



This class diagram shows two process components being defined: "Buyer" and "Seller". Each process component uses the "ProcessComponent" stereotype. It also shows that each of these components has one protocol port each: "Buys" and "Sells", respectively and that both of these ProtocolPorts implement the BuySellProtocol we saw earlier.

We can also see that the buyer "initiates" the protocol via the "Buys" port and that the seller "responds" to (or implements) that interface via the "Sells" port. As before, both ports will have their direction set in a tagged value – the color and stereotypes on relations is just informational.

You may also note that we choose to define the ports as nested classes of their process components, as can be seen from the phrases (from Buyer) and (from Seller). This helps organize the classes but is purely optional.

These components are the ones we saw being used inside of the community process.

CCA element	Stereotype	Base UML Element	Example Elements
ProcessComponent	< <processcomponent>></processcomponent>	StateMachine	Buyer, Seller
FlowPort	< <flowport>></flowport>	Class	None – for primitive flows
"Ports" relation	Optional: < <initiates>> or <<responds>></responds></initiates>	Association	Associations between ProtcolPorts and ProcessComponents
ProtocolPort	< <protocolport>></protocolport>	Class	Buys, Sells
OperationPort	< <operationport>></operationport>	Class	None – used to define a two-way message
MultiPort	< <multiport>></multiport>	Class	None – Shows a set of ports with a behavioral constraint
PropertyDefinition	< <propertydefiinition>></propertydefiinition>	Attribute	None – shows a configuration value
Direction (value)	< <iinitiatiates>></iinitiatiates>	Association	Buyer

1.5.6.1 Summary of stereotypes for a Process Component Class Diagram

CCA element	Stereotype	Base UML Element	Example Elements
Direction (value)	< <responds>></responds>	Association	Seller

Table 14: Stereotypes for a Process Component Class Diagram

1.5.6.2 Summary of tagged values for a Process Component Class Diagram

While tagged values can't be seen in the diagram, these elements will have tagged values. The tagged values used to define a process component are:

CCA attribute	Tagged Vale	Applies to	Example Values
granularity	granularity	ProcessComponent	Buyer & Seller are "shared"
isPersistent	isPersistent	ProcessComponent	Buyer & Seller are persistent
primitiveKind	PrimitiveKind	ProcessComponent	Buyer & Seller are not primitive so have no primitiveKind.
primitiveSpec	PrimitiveSpec	ProcessComponent	Buyer & Seller are not primitive so have no primitiveSpec
synchronous	synchronous	FlowPort, ProtocolPort,	All ports
		OperationPort, MultiPort	Synchronous=false (The response may come back at a later time)
transactional	transactional	FlowPort, ProtocolPort, OperationPort, MultiPort	True for all ports – each interaction is atomic.
direction	direction	FlowPort, ProtocolPort,	Initiates for Buys
		OperationPort, MultiPort	responds for Sells
postCondition	postcondition	FlowPort, ProtocolPort, OperationPort, MultiPort	N/A
initial	None: UML "Initial Value"	PropertyDefinition	None
isLocked	None: UML changability	PropertyDefinition	None

Table 15: tagged values for a Process Component Class Diagram

1.5.7 Class Diagram for Interface

Classical "services" are provided for with the CCA "Interface", such a service interface corresponds to the normal concept of an object. An interface is a one-way version of a protocol and may not have sub-protocols. Once such service is defined for our example.

< <interface>> CustService</interface>	
CheckCustomer(order : Or	der) it) : Boolean
	Figure 24: Class Diagram for Interface

Since the semantics of such an interface are will understood, let's just relate to the CCA elements:

Example Element	CCA Element	UML Element
CustService	Interface	Interface
CheckCustomer	FlowPort	Operation
CheckCustomer. order	DataElement	Parameter
checkCredit	OperationPort	Operation
CheckCredit. anount	FlowPort	Parameter

Table 16: Elements of an Interface

Note that the use of a stereotype for an interface is optional., allowing the use of other forms of UML classifiers.

Interfaces may have the same tagged values as protcol, but interfaces don't need "direction", the direction is always "responds".

1.5.7.1 Using Interfaces

While we are on the subject, let's also look at the class diagram for a process component with a port that implements this interface.



This diagram shown an "Entity" ProcessComponent (see entity profile) called "CustomerComponent" which exposes a ProtocolPort (EnqStatus) which implements this interface.

1.5.8 Class Diagram for Process Components with multiple ports

Up to this point we have seen process components with only one port, while most process components interact with multiple other components. We are going to define such a component that will be used inside other components later.



This diagram defines the OrderValidation ProcessComponent. Note that it has the following ports:

- checkOrder responding flow port (the order)
- CheckCustomer initiating protocol port to a service
- AcceptOrder initiating flow port (the order)
- Reject initiating flow port (OrderDenied)

1.5.9 Activity Diagram showing the Choreography of a Process Component

Since our Order Validation process component has multiple ports, we may also want to specify the choreography of those ports, when each will activate. This is done using an activity diagram much like the protocol.



Since the model elements used here are the same as those for the protocol, we will not repeat the tables.

1.5.10 Collaboration Diagram for Process Component Composition

A composition collaboration diagram shows how components are used to help define and (perhaps) implement another component. We have already seen one composition, for the community process. Now we will look at a collaboration diagram which specifies the inside of one of our process components – the seller.



This is a collaboration diagram "inside" the seller, which the seller will do to implement its protocol by *using* other components. This is a very specific use of a collaboration diagram and needs some explanation.

First note that, like the community process, we are showing the ports of components and of protocols nested inside the component or protocol.

The Component Usages are as follows:

- Validate uses the "OrderValidation" component
- CustBean uses the CustomerComponent
- Process uses the "OderProcessing" component (not previously shown)

If we look inside of "Validate" we see a classifier role for each port: checkOrder, reject, CheckCustomer & acceptOrder. We see the same pattern repeated inside of CustBean and Process.

Note "Seller : Sells". This is the representation of the "Sells" port on the component being defined – in this case "Seller". There will be such a "proxy" PortConnector for each port on the outside of the component for which we are making the collaboration diagram. Since this port is a protocol port, it also has sub-ports which show up as nested classifier roles.

To "connect" one port to another we draw an association role (a line representing a Connection) from one port to another. The connected ports must have compatible types and directions. So in this diagram we have made the following connections:

From Component Usage	From Port Connector	To Port Connector	To Component Usage
Seller	Sells	CheckOrder	Validate
CheckOrder	Reject	GetDenied	Seller
Validate	CheckCustomer	EnqStatus * Using Operation "checkCust"	CustBean
Validate	AcceptOrder	DoOrder	Process
Process	ProcessOrder	GetConfirmation	Seller

1.5.10.1 Connections in the example

Table 17: Connections

Each of these connections will cause data to flow from one component to the other, via the selected ports. It is these Connections which connect the activities of the components together in the context of this composition.

1.5.10.2 Summary of stereotypes for a Process Component Collaboration

CCA element	Stereotype	Base UML Element	Example Elements
Composition	< <composition>></composition>	Collaboration	Seller Composition
ProcessComponent	Implied	Classifier	Seller
ComponentUsage	< <componentusage>></componentusage>	Classifier Role (Object*)	Validate, Process, CustBean
PortConnector	< <portconnector>></portconnector>	Classifier Role (Object*)	Seller, SendOrder, GetDenied, GetConfirmation
			CheckOrder, reject, CheckCustomer, acceptOrder
			DoOrder, ProcessOrder
			EnqStatus
Connection	Connection (Optional)	Association Role (Object Link*)	See above table
ContextualBinding	< <contextualbinding>></contextualbinding>	Binding (Note*)	None – used to refine which component type to use
PropertyValue	< <propertyvalue>></propertyvalue>	Constraint (Note*)	None – use to set a configuration property of a component

Table 18: Stereotypes for a Process Component Collaboration

1.5.10.3 Special note on "proxy" port activities.

As can be seen from the example, we need to connect the "outside" ports (those on the component being defined) with the "inside" ports (those on the components being used). The PortConnectors for the outside ports are shown without an owning ComponentUsage, while the PortConnectors for the components being used are shown inside of the ComponentUsage being used.

1.5.10.4 Special note on protocols

Since protocols give us the ability to "nest" ports, ports may be seen within ports to any level. This example only shown one level of such nesting. The same kind of nesting is used within activity diagrams – since activities may be nested as well.

1.5.11 Model Management

While the organizational structure of components is not visible in a diagram, it is visible in tools. The screen shot in Figure 29 shows how the example components are organized in the Data Access Technologies' UML tool. Note how using nested classes (such as Ports being inside of their ProcessComponent) helps to organize the model and keep namespaces separate.



1.5.12 Using the CCA Notation for Component & Protocol Structure

Figure 30 shows the CCA notation being used for the protocol and process component structure, above. Note that as with the UML notation, this is done from an out-of-the-box tool (Component- $X^{\text{®}}$) - the notation is not quite standard CCA yet.



This shows the community process and protocol corresponding to the UML example, above.



Figure 31 shows the seller composition in CCA notation; it is equivalent to the seller collaboration diagram.

2. The CCA Buy-Sell example

This example illustrates the specification of a system of collaborating parties, involved in a commercial Sale.

The Sales example defines the collaboration between the parties involved.

The focus is on the boundaries between the parties – ComponentUsages, their specification – ProcessComponents, their connectable point – Ports, and the externally observable contract of candidate interactions – Protocols .

Each party may be further specified as an internal composition of collaborating subcomponents, onto which the external contract is delegated.

2.1 BuySell Community Process

The BuySell CommunityProcess specifies how a Buyer, a Seller and a Logistics collaborate to complete a business. Each role is played by a ComponentUsage of the same name. The specifications for the used ProcessComponent can be found under headers below.

The Buyer collaborates directly with the Seller, through the Buy and Sell ProtocolPorts, according to the Sales Protocol.

The Seller and the Buyer collaborate with the Logistics, through the Ship and Delivery ProtocolPorts, according to Protocol of the same names. The specification for the Protocols can be found under headers below.



The activities in the BuySell Community Process start by the Buyer initiating the interactions on its Buy ProtocolPort, according to the Sales Protocol.

The Seller is connected through its Sell ProtocolPort, to the Buy ProtocolPort of the Buyer. Therefore, the Seller will respond to the Sales Protocol, as initiated from the Buyer.

The Seller will follow the Sales Protocol, and eventually initiate the Ship Protocol with the Logistics role. The Logistics role will respond to the Ship Protocol, and initiate the Delivery Protocol on the Buyer. The Buyer will then be able to proceed with the Sales Protocol, and complete the overall collaboration.

2.2 Protocols

2.2.1 Sales Protocol

The interactions between the ComponentUsage in the BuySell CommunityProcess, above, occur according to Protocols, as specified below.



Structure

The Sales Protocol is an integration of four simpler Protocols : QuoteBT, OrderBT and PaymentNoticeBT. The Sales Protocol has a ProtocolPort using each of these simpler Protocols. The specification for these Protocols can be found under headers below.

Interactions in the ProtocolPorts QuoteBT, OrderBT and PaymentNoticeBT will be initiated by the initiatorRole of the Sales Protocol.

The initiatorRole of the Sales Protocol will respond to interactions in the ShippingNoticeBT ProtocolPort.

Choreography

Interactions in the Sales Protocol will begin by the initiatorRole of the Sales Protocol, initiating and fully performing the interactions of the QuoteBT ProtocolPort.

After this, the initiatorRole will initiate and fully perform the interactions of the OrderBT ProtocolPort.

If during performance of the interaction of the OrderBT ProtocolPort, an OrderDenied has flown between initiatorRole and responderRole, then the Protocol ends with a Failure condition.

Else, if an OrderConfirmation has flown, then the initiatorRole of the Sales Protocol will respond and fully perform the interactions of the ShippingNoticeBT ProtocolPort.

After this, the initiatorRole will initiate and fully perform the interactions in the PaymentNoticeBT ProtocolPort.

2.2.2 QuoteBT Protocol



QuoteBT is a Protocol in the form of a Request-Reply, where the initiatorRole will send a QuoteRequest, and receive a Quote as response. QuoteRequest and Quote are FlowPort of the QuoteBT Protocol, typed to CompositeData of the same name.

2.2.3 OrderBT Protocol





QuoteBT is a Protocol in the form of a Request-Multiple_Candidate_Reply, where the initiatorRole will send an Order, and receive as response an OrderConfirmation or an OrderDenied. Order, OrderConfirmation and OrderDenied are FlowPort of the OrderBT Protocol, typed to CompositeData of the same name.

An OrderConfirmation leads to a successful termination of the Protocol, while an OrderDenied is a Failure condition.

2.2.4 ShippingNoticeBT Protocol

Protocol ShippingNoticeBT		
ShippingNotice		(< <initiates>> ShippingNotice</initiates>
initiatorRole Seller	responderRole Buyer	

ShippingNoticeBT is a Protocol with a single FlowPort, corresponding to the sending of a ShippingNotice by the initiatorRole of the Protocol.

To declare a Protocol for a single flow may be redundant, as the unique FlowPort could be included wherever the Protocol is used, like in the Sales Protocol of our example. In this case, ShippingNoticeBT has been defined, for symmetry, and to illustrate the benefit of this approach, encapsulating as a Protocol the single flow nature of the interaction.

2.2.5 PaymentNoticeBT Protocol



PaymentNoticeBT is a Protocol with a single FlowPort, corresponding to the sending of a PaymentNotice by the initiatorRole of the Protocol.

2.2.6 ShipBT Protocol



ShipBT is a Protocol in the form of a Request-Reply, where the initiatorRole will send a ShippingRequest, and receive a PickupReceipt as response. ShippingRequest and PickupReceipt are FlowPort of the ShipBT Protocol, typed to CompositeData of the same name.

2.2.7 DeliveryBT Protocol



Figure 39 DeliveryBT Protocol structure and choreography

DeliveryBT is a Protocol in the form of a Request-Reply, where the initiatorRole will send a DeliveryReceipt, and receive a DeliveryAcceptance as response. DeliveryReceipt and DeliveryAcceptance are FlowPort of the DeliveryBT Protocol, typed to CompositeData of the same name.

2.3 Components



2.3.1 Buyer ProcessComponent

Buyer ProcessComponent is used in the BuySell CommunityProcess, as ComponentUsage of the same name.

Buyer has two ProtocolPort named Buy and Delivery.

The Buyer initiates interactions through the Buy ProtocolPort according to the Sales Protocol. The Delivery ProtocolPort responds to the DeliveryBT Protocol.

The activities of the Buyer ProcessComponent will begin by initiating and fully performing the interactions through the Buy Port, according to the used Sales Protocol.

After this, if during performance of the interaction of the Sales Protocol through the Buy ProtocolPort, an OrderDenied has flown, then the choreography ends with a Failure condition.

Else, if an OrderConfirmation has flown, then the Buyer ProcessComponent will respond to interactions through the Delivery ProtocolPort, and complete successfully.



2.3.2 Seller ProcessComponent

Seller ProcessComponent is used in the BuySell CommunityProcess, as ComponentUsage of the same name.

Seller has two ProtocolPort named Sell and Ship.

The Seller responds to interactions through the Sell ProtocolPort according to the Sales Protocol. The Ship ProtocolPort initiates interactions in the Delivery Protocol.

The activity of the Seller ProcessComponent will begin when responding and fully performing the interactions through the Buy Port, according to the used Sales Protocol.

The Failure termination condition of the Sales Protocol is also a Failure termination condition of the choreography of the Seller ProcessComponent.

In the choreography for the Seller ProcessComponent, the interactions through the Ship ProtocolPort, according to the ShipBT Protocol, are inserted as a whole in between two consecutive states of the Sales Protocol in the Sell ProtocolPort.

The choreography of the Seller ProcessComponent is an integration of the choreographies of the Sales and ShipBT Protocols, of the Sell and Ship ProtocolPort. The integration is safely achieved by insertion, as a refinement of a Transition in the Sales Protocol, as two Transitions to and from the inserted Ship PortActivity.

The interactions through the Sell ProtocolPort are integrated with the Ship ProtocolPort, by insertion of the whole ShipBT Protocol, interleaved between two activities of the Sales Protocol. This is a case of safe synthesis, where the constraints and partial ordering of each Protocol are still valid in the synthesized protocol.

The successful termination of the choreography of the Sales Protocol in the Sell ProtocolPort, is also the successful termination of the Seller ProcessComponent.

This structure and choreography fully specify the external contractual obligations and expectations of the Seller ProcessComponent.

No details have been offered, about how the Seller ProcessComponent actually performs its duties, in compliance with the externally observable structure and behavior specified above.



2.3.3 Seller ProcessComponent – internal composition

In the header above, the externally observable structure and choreography have been defined, without revealing any internal details of the Seller ProcessComponent.

When designing a system, that will play the Seller role in a BuySell CommunityProcess, the Seller ProcessComponent will have to be further specified, and its complexity decomposed in smaller units – and recursively – until the resulting ProcessComponent can be directly mapped or implemented to non-CCA artifacts.

The internal de-composition of the Seller ProcessComponent, must comply with the externally observable choreography. If it complies, the Seller may play the role in the BuySell Community Process – and others using the Seller ProcessComponent definition – independently of how the Seller ProcessComponent has been internally defined.

In our example, the Seller ProcessComponent is internally composed by using QuoteCalculator, Seller_Order, Warehouse and AccountsReceivablel components.

The Sell ProtocolPort is rendered expanded, displaying the ProtocolPort of the Sales Protocol, as sub-Port of the Sell ProtocolPort.

The individual sub-ProtocolPort of Sell are delegated or initiated to/from port of subcomponent of Seller.

The usage of QuoteCalculator responds to and handles the Quote sub-port of Sell. The QuoteCalculator ProcessComponent has a ProtocolPort using the QuoteBT Protocol, and is therefore compatible for direct delegation from the Quote sub-port of Sell.

Similarly, the Seller_Orders component usage responds to and handles the Order sub-Port of Sell. In addition, the Seller_Orders ProcessComponent has an additional OrderConfirmation outgoing flow, connected to the Warehouse and AccountsReceivable component usages. When Seller_Orders responds an OrderConfirmation, the same OrderConfirmation will be sent to Warehouse and AccountsReceivable.

The Warehouse component usage responds to the OrderConfirmation from the Seller_Orders component, and initiates the interactions of the ShipBT Protocol, forwarded through the Ship ProtocolPort of the container Seller ProcessComponent. After, the Warehouse component initiates the interactions of the ShippingNoticeBT Protocol, through the ShippingNotice sub-Port of Sell.

The AccountsReceivable component usage receives OrderConfirmation from Seller_Orders, and responds to and handles the PaymentNotice sub-port of Sell.

2.3.4 QuoteCalculator ProcessComponent

The QuoteCalculator ProcessComponent has the structure as shown in its component usage in the Seller internal compositions.

QuoteCalculator has a single ProtocolPort responding to the QuoteBT Protocol.

The chorography of QuoteCalculator corresponds to the choreography of the QuoteBT Protocol.



2.3.5 Seller_Orders ProcessComponent

Seller_Orders ProcessComponent responds to interactions of the OrderBT Protocol through the Order ProtocolPort.

The Seller_Orders ProcessComponent has an additional OrderConfirmation outgoing flow. When Seller_Orders responds an OrderConfirmation, the same OrderConfirmation will be sent also through the FlowPort.





The Warehouse ProcessComponent receives an OrderConfirmation flow, and initiates the interactions of the ShipBT Protocol, through the Ship ProtocolPort. After, the Warehouse component initiates the interactions of the ShippingNoticeBT Protocol, through the ShippingNotice Port.

2.3.7 AccountsReceivable ProcessComponent



The AccountsReceivable ProcessComponent receives an OrderConfirmation, and responds to the PaymentNoticeBT Protocol through the Payment ProtocolPort.



2.3.8 Logistics ProcessComponent

Logistics ProcessComponent is used in the BuySell CommunityProcess, as ComponentUsage of the same name.

Logistics has two ProtocolPort named Ship and Delivery.

The Logistics responds to interactions through the Ship ProtocolPort according to the ShipBT Protocol. The Delivery ProtocolPort initiates interactions of the DeliveryBT Protocol.

The activities of the Logistics ProcessComponent will begin by responding and fully performing the interactions through the Ship Port, according to the used ShipBT Protocol.

After this the Logistics ProcessComponent will initiate and fully perform the interactions through the Delivery ProtocolPort.

The Logistics ProcessComponent integrates the ShipBT and DeliveryBT Protocols, by safely synthesizing them in a sequence, where the ShipBT Protocol is fully exercised and completed, before starting the DeliveryBT Protocol.

Glossary

Torm	Fundamention
Term	
b2b	Business to Business
b2c	Business to Customer
BFOP	Business Function Object Pattern
СВОР	Common Business Object Patterns Consortium
CCA	Component Collaboration Architecture – a profile for specifying components at multiple levels of granularity
EAI	Enterprise Application Integration
ebXML	XML for Electronic Business
ECA	Enterprise Collaboration Architecture – a set of profiles for making technology independent models of EDOC systems
EDOC	Enterprise Distributed Object Computing – what the submission is all about.
EJB	Enterprise JavaBeans
FCM	Flow Composition Model
RM-ODP	Reference Model of Open Distributed Processing
UML	Unified Modeling Language
VMM	Virtual metamodel: a formal model of a package of extensions to the UML metamodel using UML's own built-in extension mechanisms

Table 19, below, defines the specialist terms used in this Submission.

Table 19 Glossary of Terms